

# Certain categories are cartesian closed

BY VICTOR PORTON

*Email:* porton@narod.ru

*Web:* <http://www.mathematics21.org>

*November 25, 2013*

## Abstract

I prove that the category of continuous maps between endofunctors is cartesian closed. Whether the category of continuous maps between endoreloids is cartesian closed is yet an open problem.

This is a rough draft. There are errors!

## Cartesian closed categories

**Definition 1.** A category is *cartesian closed* iff:

- It has finite products.
- For each objects  $A, B$  is given an object  $\text{MOR}(A; B)$  (*exponentiation*) and a morphism  $\varepsilon_{A, B}^{\mathbf{Dig}}: \text{MOR}(A; B) \times A \rightarrow B$ .
- For each morphism  $f: Z \times A \rightarrow B$  there is given a morphism (*exponential transpose*)  $\sim f: Z \rightarrow \text{MOR}(A; B)$ .
- $\varepsilon \circ (\sim f \times 1_A) = f$ .
- $\sim(\varepsilon \circ (g \times 1_A)) = g$ .

Our purpose is to prove (or disprove) that categories **Dig**, **Fcd**, and **Rld** are cartesian closed. Note that they have finite (and even infinite) products is already proved in <http://www.mathematics21.org/binaries/product.pdf>

## Definitions of our categories

Categories **Dig**, **Fcd**, and **Rld** are respectively categories of:

1. discretely continuous maps between digraphs;
2. (proximally) continuous maps between endofunctors;
3. (uniformly) continuous maps between endoreloids.

**Definition 2.** *Digraph* is an endomorphism of the category **Rel**.

**Definition 3.** Category **Dig** of digraphs is the category whose objects are digraphs and morphisms are discretely continuous maps between digraphs. That is morphisms from a digraph  $\mu$  to a digraph  $\nu$  are functions (or more precisely morphisms of **Set**)  $f$  such that  $f \circ \mu \sqsubseteq \nu \circ f$  (or equivalently  $\mu \sqsubseteq f^{-1} \circ \nu \circ f$  or equivalently  $f \circ \mu \circ f^{-1} \sqsubseteq \nu$ ).

**Remark 4.** Category of digraphs is sometimes defined in an other (non equivalent) way, allowing multiple edges between two given vertices.

**Definition 5.** Category **Fcd** of continuous maps between endofunctors is the category whose objects are endofunctors and morphisms are proximally continuous maps between endofunctors. That is morphisms from an endofunctor  $\mu$  to an endofunctor  $\nu$  are functions (or more precisely morphisms of **Set**)  $f$  such that  $\uparrow^{\text{FCD}} f \circ \mu \sqsubseteq \nu \circ \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} f$  (or equivalently  $\mu \sqsubseteq \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} f^{-1} \circ \nu \circ \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} f$  or equivalently  $\uparrow^{\text{FCD}} f \circ \mu \circ \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} f^{-1} \sqsubseteq \nu$ ).

**Definition 6.** Category **Rld** of continuous maps between endoreloids is the category whose objects are endoreloids and morphisms are uniformly continuous maps between endoreloids. That is morphisms from an endoreloid  $\mu$  to an endoreloid  $\nu$  are functions (or more precisely morphisms of **Set**)  $f$  such that  $\uparrow^{\text{RLD}} f \circ \mu \sqsubseteq \nu \circ \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} f$  (or equivalently  $\mu \sqsubseteq \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} f^{-1} \circ \nu \circ \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} f$  or equivalently  $\uparrow^{\text{RLD}} f \circ \mu \circ \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} f^{-1} \sqsubseteq \nu$ ).

## Category of digraphs is cartesian closed

Category of digraphs is the simplest of our three categories and it is easy to demonstrate that it is cartesian closed. I demonstrate cartesian closedness of **Dig** mainly with the purpose to show a pattern similarly to which we may probably demonstrate our two other categories are cartesian closed.

Let  $G$  and  $H$  be graphs:

- $\text{Ob MOR}(G; H) = (\text{Ob } H)^{\text{Ob } G}$ ;
- $(f; g) \in \text{GR MOR}(G; H) \Leftrightarrow \forall (v; w) \in \text{GR } G: (f(v); g(w)) \in \text{GR } H$  for every  $f, g \in \text{Ob MOR}(G; H) = (\text{Ob } H)^{\text{Ob } G}$ ;

$$\text{GR } 1_{\text{MOR}(B; C)} = \text{id}_{\text{Ob MOR}(B; C)} = \text{id}_{(\text{Ob } H)^{\text{Ob } G}}$$

Equivalently

$$(f; g) \in \text{GR MOR}(G; H) \Leftrightarrow \forall (v; w) \in \text{GR } G: g \circ \{(v; w)\} \circ f^{-1} \subseteq \text{GR } H$$

$$(f; g) \in \text{GR MOR}(G; H) \Leftrightarrow g \circ (\text{GR } G) \circ f^{-1} \subseteq \text{GR } H$$

$$(f; g) \in \text{GR MOR}(G; H) \Leftrightarrow \langle f \times^{(C)} g \rangle \text{GR } G \subseteq \text{GR } H$$

The transposition (the isomorphism) is uncurrying.

$$\sim f = \lambda a \in Z \lambda y \in A: f(a; y) \text{ that is } (\sim f)(a)(y) = f(a; y).$$

$$(-f)(a; y) = f(a)(y)$$

If  $f: A \times B \rightarrow C$  then  $\sim f: A \rightarrow \text{MOR}(B; C)$

**Proposition 7.** Transposition and its inverse are morphisms of **Dig**.

**Proof.** It follows from the equivalence  $\sim f: A \rightarrow \text{MOR}(B; C) \Leftrightarrow \forall x, y: (x A y \Rightarrow (\sim f) x (\text{MOR}(B; C)) (\sim f) y) \Leftrightarrow \forall x, y: (x A y \Rightarrow \forall (v; w) \in B: ((\sim f) x v; (\sim f) y w) \in C) \Leftrightarrow \forall x, y, v, w: (x A y \wedge v B w \Rightarrow ((\sim f) x v; (\sim f) y w) \in C) \Leftrightarrow \forall x, y, v, w: ((x; v) (A \times B) (y; w) \Rightarrow (f(x; v); f(y; w)) \in C) \Leftrightarrow f: A \times B \rightarrow C$ .  $\square$

Evaluation  $\varepsilon: \text{MOR}(G; H) \times G \rightarrow H$  is defined by the formula:

Then evaluation is  $\varepsilon_{B,C} = -(1_{\text{MOR}(B;C)})$ .

So  $\varepsilon_{B,C}(p; q) = (-(1_{\text{MOR}(B;C)}))(p; q) = (1_{\text{MOR}(B;C)})(p)(q) = p(q)$ .

**Proposition 8.** Evaluation is a morphism of **Dig**.

**Proof.** Because  $\varepsilon_{B,C}(p; q) = -(1_{\text{MOR}(B;C)})$ . □

It remains to prove: [FIXME:  $\varepsilon_{X,Y}$ . What are  $X$  and  $Y$ ?

- $\varepsilon \circ (\sim f \times 1_A) = f$ ;
- $\sim(\varepsilon \circ (g \times 1_A)) = g$ .

**Proof.**  $\varepsilon(\sim f \times 1_A)(a; p) = \varepsilon((\sim f)a; p) = (\sim f)ap = f(a; p)$ . So  $\varepsilon \circ (\sim f \times 1_A) = f$ .

$\sim(\varepsilon \circ (g \times 1_A))(p)(q) = (\varepsilon \circ (g \times 1_A))(p; q) = \varepsilon(g \times 1_A)(p; q) = \varepsilon(gp; q) = g(p)(q)$ . So  $\sim(\varepsilon \circ (g \times 1_A)) = g$ . □

## Exponentials in category **Fcd**

Define  $\sim^{\text{Fcd}} f = \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} \sim^{\text{Dig}} f$

**Definition 9.** A category is *cartesian closed* iff:

- $\varepsilon \circ (\sim f \times 1_A) = f$ .
- $\sim(\varepsilon \circ (g \times 1_A)) = g$ .

But this follows from functoriality of  $\uparrow^{\text{FCD}}$ .

??

Embed **Fcd** into **Dig** by the formulas:

$$A \mapsto \lambda X \in \mathcal{P}\text{Ob } A: \langle A \rangle X$$

$$f \mapsto \langle f \rangle$$

Obviously this embedding (denote it  $T$ ) is an injective (both on objects and morphisms) functor.

$$\varepsilon_{A,B}^{\text{Fcd}}(p \times q) = \langle p \rangle q \text{ [TODO: Should } p \text{ and } q \text{ be atomic?]}$$

$\sim^{\text{Rld}}$  is induced by  $\sim^{\text{Dig}}$ .

Due its injectivity and functoriality, it is enough to prove:

1. binary products are preserved
2.  $\varepsilon_{TA, TB}^{\text{Dig}} = T\varepsilon_{A,B}^{\text{Fcd}}$
3. that  $\sim^{\text{Dig}} T f = T \sim^{\text{Fcd}} f$  for every  $f: A \rightarrow B$

$$(T\varepsilon_{A,B}^{\text{Fcd}})(p \times q) = \langle \varepsilon_{A,B}^{\text{Fcd}} \rangle (p \times q) = \langle p \rangle q$$

$$\varepsilon_{TA, TB}^{\text{Dig}} X = (TB)^{TA} X = (\lambda Y \in \mathcal{P}\text{Ob } B: \langle B \rangle Y)^{\lambda X \in \mathcal{P}\text{Ob } A: \langle A \rangle X} X$$

??

Due its injectivity and functoriality, it is enough to prove:

1. binary products are preserved
2. for every  $\varepsilon_{TA, TB}^{\mathbf{Dig}}$  there exist  $\varepsilon_{A, B}^{\mathbf{Fcd}}$  such that  $\varepsilon_{TA, TB}^{\mathbf{Dig}} = T\varepsilon_{A, B}^{\mathbf{Fcd}}$
3. for every  $f: TA \rightarrow TB$  there exists  $g: A \rightarrow B$  that  $\sim^{\mathbf{Dig}} f = T\sim^{\mathbf{Fcd}} g$

Consider  $\varepsilon_{TA, TB}^{\mathbf{Dig}}$ . Then  $\varepsilon_{TA, TB}^{\mathbf{Dig}} X = (TB)^{TA} X = (\lambda X \in \mathcal{P}Ob B: \langle B \rangle X)^{\lambda X \in \mathcal{P}Ob A: \langle A \rangle X} X \in (\lambda X \in \mathcal{P}Ob B: \langle B \rangle X)$  for as suitable  $X$ . Thus ??  $\varepsilon_{TA, TB}^{\mathbf{Dig}} 0 = 0$  and  $\varepsilon_{TA, TB}^{\mathbf{Dig}} (I \cup J) = \varepsilon_{TA, TB}^{\mathbf{Dig}} I \cup \varepsilon_{TA, TB}^{\mathbf{Dig}} J$ . Consequently  $\varepsilon_{A, B}^{\mathbf{Fcd}}$  exists.

Consider  $f: TA \rightarrow TB$ .

??

Then  $f \in (TB)^{TA}$  and  $f \in C(TA; TB)$ .

$fX = ??$

$(\sim^{\mathbf{Dig}} f)(p; q) = f(p)(q) =$

Thus ??

??

Binary products are subatomic products and so are compatible with products of graphs.

A try to prove this directly:

**Proposition 10.** Transposition and its inverse are morphisms of **Fcd**.

**Proof.** ?? [TODO: Use below sets instead of ultrafilters.]

It follows from the equivalence (??is it an equivalence? the last step seems just an implication)  
 $\sim f: A \rightarrow \text{MOR}(B; C) \Leftrightarrow \forall x, y \in \text{atoms}^{\tilde{\sigma}}: (x [A] y \Rightarrow \langle \sim f \rangle x [\text{MOR}(B; C)] \langle \sim f \rangle y) \Leftrightarrow \forall x, y \in \text{atoms}^{\tilde{\sigma}}: (x [A] y \Rightarrow \forall (v; w) \in \text{atoms } B: (\langle \sim f \rangle xv \times^{\mathbf{FCD}} \langle \sim f \rangle yw) \in \text{atoms } C) \Leftrightarrow \forall x, y, v, w: (x [A] y \wedge v [B] w \Rightarrow (\langle \sim f \rangle xv \times^{\mathbf{FCD}} \langle \sim f \rangle yw) \in \text{atoms } C) \Leftrightarrow \forall x, y, v, w \in \text{atoms}^{\tilde{\sigma}}: (x \times^{\mathbf{RLD}} v [A \times B] y \times^{\mathbf{RLD}} w \Rightarrow (f(x; v); f(y; w)) \in C) \Leftrightarrow f: A \times B \rightarrow C. \quad \square$

## Exponentials in category Rld

TODO