

# Categories related with funcoids

BY VICTOR PORTON

Web: <http://www.mathematics21.org>

July 26, 2012

## Abstract

I consider some categories related with pointfree funcoids.

## 1 Draft status

This is a rough partial draft.

## 2 Topic of this article

In this article are considered some categories related to *pointfree funcoids* [1].

## 3 Category of continuous morphisms

I will denote  $\text{Ob } f$  the object (source and destination) of an endomorphism  $f$ .

**Definition 1.** Let  $C$  is a partially ordered category. The category  $\mathbf{cont}(C)$  (which I call *the category of continuous morphism over  $C$* ) is:

- Objects are endomorphisms of category  $C$ .
- Morphisms are triples  $(f; a; b)$  where  $a$  and  $b$  are objects and  $f: \text{Ob } a \rightarrow \text{Ob } b$  is a morphism of the category  $C$  such that  $f \circ a \sqsubseteq b \circ f$ .
- Composition of morphisms is defined by the formula  $(g; b; c) \circ (f; a; b) = (g \circ f; a; c)$ .
- Identity morphisms are  $(a; a; 1_a^C)$ .

It is really a category:

**Proof.** We need to prove that: composition of morphisms is a morphism, composition is associative, and identity morphisms can be canceled on the left and on the right.

That composition of morphisms is a morphism follows from these implications:

$$f \circ a \sqsubseteq b \circ f \wedge g \circ b \sqsubseteq c \circ g \Rightarrow g \circ f \circ a \sqsubseteq g \circ b \circ f \sqsubseteq c \circ g \circ f.$$

That composition is associative is obvious.

That identity morphisms can be canceled on the left and on the right is obvious.  $\square$

**Remark 2.** The “physical” meaning of this category is:

- Objects (endomorphisms of  $C$ ) are spaces.
- Morphisms are continuous functions between spaces.
- $f \circ a \sqsubseteq b \circ f$  intuitively means that  $f$  combined with an infinitely small is less than infinitely small combined with  $f$  (that is  $f$  is continuous).

**Remark 3.** Every  $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{A}; \mathfrak{B})$  of  $\mathbf{Pos}$  is partially ordered by the formula  $a \leq b \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in \mathfrak{A}: a(x) \leq b(x)$ . So  $\mathbf{cont}(\mathbf{Pos})$  is defined.

**Definition 4.** I call a **Pos**-morphism *monovalued* when it maps atoms to atoms or least element.

**Definition 5.** I call a **Pos**-morphism *entirely defined* when its value is non-least on every non-least element.

**Obvious 6.** A morphism is both monovalued and entirely defined iff it maps atoms into atoms.

[TODO: Show how it relates with dagger categories.]

**Definition 7.** **mePos** is the subcategory of **Pos** with only monovalued and entirely defined morphisms.

**Obvious 8.** This is a well defined category.

**Definition 9.** **mefpFCD** is the subcategory of **fpFCD** with only monovalued and entirely defined morphisms.

**Remark 10.** In the two above definitions different definitions of monovaluedness and entire definedness from different articles.

## 4 Definition of the categories

**Definition 11.** A (*pointfree*) *endo-funcoïd* is a (pointfree) funcoïd with the same source and destination (an endomorphism of the category of (pointfree) funcoïds). I will denote  $\text{Ob } f$  the object of an endomorphism  $f$ .

**Obvious 12.** The *category of continuous pointfree funcoïds*  $\mathbf{cont}(\mathbf{fpFCD})$  is:

- Objects are small pointfree endo-funcoïds.
- Morphisms from an object  $a$  to an object  $b$  are triples  $(f; a; b)$  where  $f$  is a pointfree funcoïd from  $\text{Ob } a$  to  $\text{Ob } b$  such that  $f$  is a continuous morphism from  $a$  to  $b$  (that is  $f \circ a \sqsubseteq b \circ f$ , or equivalently  $a \sqsubseteq f^{-1} \circ b \circ f$ , or equivalently  $f \circ a \circ f^{-1} \sqsubseteq f$ ).
- Composition is the composition of pointfree funcoïds.
- Identity for an object  $a$  is  $(I_{\text{Ob } a}^{\text{FCD}}; a; a)$ .

## 5 Isomorphisms

**Theorem 13.** If  $f$  is an isomorphism  $a \rightarrow b$  of the category  $\mathbf{cont}(\mathbf{fpFCD})$ , then:

1.  $f \circ a = b \circ f$ ;
2.  $a = f^{-1} \circ b \circ f$ ;
3.  $f \circ a \circ f^{-1} = b$ .

**Proof.** Note that  $f$  is monovalued and entirely defined.

1. We have  $f \circ a \sqsubseteq b \circ f$  and  $f^{-1} \circ b \sqsubseteq a \circ f^{-1}$ . Consequently  $f^{-1} \circ f \circ a \sqsubseteq f^{-1} \circ b \circ f$ ;  $a \sqsubseteq f^{-1} \circ b \circ f$ ;  $a \circ f^{-1} \sqsubseteq f^{-1} \circ b \circ f \circ f^{-1}$ ;  $a \circ f^{-1} \sqsubseteq f^{-1} \circ b$ . Similarly  $b \circ f \sqsubseteq f \circ a$ . So  $f \circ a = b \circ f$ .
- 2 and 3. Follow from the definition of isomorphism.  $\square$

Isomorphisms are meant to preserve structure of objects. I will show that (under certain conditions) isomorphisms of  $\mathbf{cont}(\mathbf{fpFCD})$  really preserve structure of objects.

First we will consider an isomorphism between objects  $a$  and  $b$  which are funcoïds (not the general case of pointfree funcoïds). In this case a map which preserves structure of objects is a *bijection*. It is really a bijection as the following theorem says:

**Theorem 14.** If  $f$  is an isomorphism of the category of functors then  $f$  is a discrete functor (so, it is essentially a bijection). [TODO: Split it into two propositions: about completeness and co-completeness.]

**Proof.**  $\langle f \rangle^* A \sqcap \langle f \rangle^* ((\text{Src } f) \setminus A) = 0^{\text{Dst } f}$  because  $f$  is monovalued.

$$\langle f \rangle^* A \sqcup \langle f \rangle^* ((\text{Src } f) \setminus A) = 1^{\text{Dst } f}.$$

Therefore  $\langle f \rangle^* A$  is a principal filter (theorem 49 in [2]). So  $f$  is co-complete.

That  $f$  is complete follows from symmetry.  $\square$

For wider class of pointfree functors the concept of bijection does not make sense. Instead we would want a structure preserving map to be *order isomorphism*.

Actually, for mapping between  $\mathcal{P}A$  and  $\mathcal{P}B$  where  $A$  and  $B$  are some sets (including the above considered case of functors from  $A$  to  $B$ ) bijection and order isomorphism are essentially the same:

**Proposition 15.** Bijections  $F$  between sets  $A$  and  $B$  bijectively correspond to order isomorphisms  $f$  between  $\mathcal{P}A$  and  $\mathcal{P}B$  by the formula  $f = \langle F \rangle$ .

**Proof.** Let  $F$  is a bijection. Then  $X \subseteq Y \Rightarrow \langle F \rangle X \subseteq \langle F \rangle Y$  and  $\langle F^{-1} \rangle \langle F \rangle X = X$  for every sets  $X, Y \in \mathcal{P}A$ . Thus  $f = \langle F \rangle$  is an order isomorphism.

Let now  $f$  is an order isomorphism between  $\mathcal{P}A$  and  $\mathcal{P}B$ . Then  $f(\{x\})$  is a singleton for every  $x \in A$ . Take  $F(x)$  to the unique  $y$  such that  $f(\{x\}) = \{y\}$ . Obviously  $f$  is a bijection and  $f = \langle F \rangle$ .  $\square$

For arbitrary pointfree functors isomorphisms do not necessarily preserve structure. It holds only for *increasing pointfree functors*:

**Definition 16.** I call a pointfree functor  $f$  *increasing* iff  $\langle f \rangle$  and  $\langle f^{-1} \rangle$  are monotone functions.

**Proposition 17.** If  $f$  is an increasing isomorphism of the category of pointfree functors then  $\langle f \rangle$  is an order isomorphism.

**Proof.** We have:  $\langle f \rangle \circ \langle f^{-1} \rangle = \langle f \circ f^{-1} \rangle = \langle \text{id}_{\mathfrak{B}}^{\text{FCD}} \rangle = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{B}}$  and  $\langle f^{-1} \rangle \circ \langle f \rangle = \langle f^{-1} \circ f \rangle = \langle \text{id}_{\mathfrak{A}}^{\text{FCD}} \rangle = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{A}}$ . Thus  $\langle f \rangle$  is a bijection.

$\langle f \rangle$  is increasing and bijective.  $\square$

**Remark 18.** Non-increasing isomorphisms of the category of pointfree functors are against sound mind, they don't preserve the structure of the source, that is for them  $\langle f \rangle$  or  $\langle f^{-1} \rangle$  are not order isomorphisms.

**Obvious 19.** Isomorphisms of  $\text{cont}(\mathbf{Pos})$  and  $\text{cont}(\mathbf{mePos})$  are order isomorphisms.

## 6 Direct products

[TODO: Now this section is a complete mess. Clean it up.]

Consider the category  $\text{contFcd}$  which is the full subcategory  $\text{cont}(\mathbf{mePos})$  restricted to objects which are essentially increasing pointfree functors.

Let  $f_1: Y \rightarrow X_1$  and  $f_2: Y \rightarrow X_2$  are morphisms of  $\text{contFcd}$ .

The product object is  $X_1 \times^{(C)} X_2$  (cross composition product of functors used). It is easy to see that  $X_1 \times^{(C)} X_2$  is an object of  $\text{contFcd}$  that is an endo-functor.

The morphism  $f_1 \times^{(D)} f_2: Y \rightarrow X_1 \times^{(C)} X_2$  is defined by the formula  $(f_1 \times^{(D)} f_2)y = f_1 y \times^{\text{FCD}} f_2 y$ .

$f_1 \times^{(D)} f_2$  is monovalued and entirely defined because so are  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ .

$$(f_1 \times^{(D2)} f_2)y = \bigcup \{f_1 x \times^{\text{FCD}} f_2 x \mid x \in \text{atoms}^{\mathfrak{A}} y\}.$$

[TODO: Is  $(f_1 \times^{(D2)} f_2)$  a pointfree functor?]

To prove that it is really a morphism we need to show

$$(f_1 \times^{(D)} f_2) \circ Y \sqsubseteq (X_1 \times^{(C)} X_2) \circ (f_1 \times^{(D)} f_2)$$

that is (for every  $y$ )

$$(f_1 \times^{(D)} f_2) Y y \sqsubseteq (X_1 \times^{(C)} X_2) (f_1 \times^{(D)} f_2) y.$$

Really,  $(f_1 \times^{(D)} f_2) Y y = f_1 Y y \times^{\text{FCD}} f_2 Y y$ ;

$$(X_1 \times^{(C)} X_2) (f_1 \times^{(D)} f_2) y = (X_1 \times^{(C)} X_2) (f_1 y \times^{\text{FCD}} f_2 y) = X_1 f_1 y \times^{\text{FCD}} X_2 f_2 y;$$

but it is easy to show  $f_1 Y y \times^{\text{FCD}} f_2 Y y \sqsubseteq X_1 f_1 y \times^{\text{FCD}} X_2 f_2 y$ .

??

I define ??

[TODO: Prove that it is a direct product in **contFcd**.]

??

## Bibliography

- [1] Victor Porton. Pointfree funcoids. At <http://www.mathematics21.org/binaries/pointfree.pdf>.
- [2] Victor Porton. Filters on posets and generalizations. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 74(1):55–119, 2012.