

Singularities

Very rough draft.

1. Singularities functors: some special cases

We attempt to prove that $\text{up } z$ is closed regarding finite intersections.

For consideration of this, let's consider two special cases (first of which is a specialization of the second).

Let $\mu = \nu$ be the natural proximity on real numbers \mathbb{R} .

Let Δ is the entourage filter of zero.

1. $z = \Delta \times^{\text{FCD}} \Delta$.

2. $z = \nu \circ (\uparrow^{\text{FCD}} f)|_{\Delta}$ for an arbitrary function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

(1) is [[also formulated in elementary terms|<http://math.stackexchange.com/questions/568513/is-a-set-closed-under-finite-intersections-about-filters>]] (without using functors).

These two above conjectures are shown to be false by a counter-example in [[this blog post|<http://portonmath.wordpress.com/2013/12/18/a-negative-result-on-a-conjecture/>]]. It is a discouraging result as it seems from it the plain functors can't be used for the multilevel theory of singularities.

2. Using plain functors

This way if we succeed is the best way to create metasingular numbers because, it (if we succeed) involves just functors not some fancy generalization of functors.

Approximate definition of "singularity level": //Singularity level// is a transitive, T_2 -separable endofunctor.

Now define the functor $\nu_{i+1} = \text{SLA}(\nu_i)$:

$\text{Ob}(\nu_{i+1})$ is defined as the set of all generalized limits (having fixed μ, ν , and G).

$X [\nu_{i+1}]^* Y \Leftrightarrow \exists z \in \bigcup \text{Ob } \nu \forall K \in \text{up } z \exists x \in \bigcup X, y \in \bigcup Y : x, y \sqsubseteq K$.

The trouble is to prove that the functor ν_{i+1} exists (is really a functor).

$\neg(X [\nu_{i+1}]^* \emptyset)$ and $\neg(\emptyset [\nu_{i+1}]^* Y)$ are obvious. We need to prove

$$I \cup J [\nu_{i+1}]^* Y \Leftrightarrow I [\nu_{i+1}]^* Y \vee J [\nu_{i+1}]^* Y$$

and

$$X [\nu_{i+1}]^* I \cup J \Leftrightarrow X [\nu_{i+1}]^* I \vee X [\nu_{i+1}]^* J.$$

Let's attempt to prove the first of the above equations (the second is dual).

$I \cup J [\text{SLA}(\nu)]^* Y \Leftrightarrow$

$\exists z \in \bigcup \text{Ob } \nu \forall K \in \text{up } z \exists x \in \bigcup I \cup \bigcup J, y \in \bigcup Y : x, y \sqsubseteq K \Leftrightarrow$

$\exists z \in \bigcup \text{Ob } \nu \forall K \in \text{up } z : (\exists x \in \bigcup I \cup \bigcup J : x \sqsubseteq K \wedge \exists y \in \bigcup Y : y \sqsubseteq K) \Leftrightarrow$

$\exists z \in \bigcup \text{Ob } \nu \forall K \in \text{up } z \exists x \in \bigcup I \cup \bigcup J : x \sqsubseteq K \wedge$

$\exists z \in \bigcup \text{Ob } \nu \forall K \in \text{up } z \exists y \in \bigcup Y : y \sqsubseteq K \Leftrightarrow$

??

$\exists z \in \bigcup \text{Ob } \nu : (\forall K \in \text{up } z \exists x \in \bigcup I : x \sqsubseteq K \vee$

$\forall K \in \text{up } z \exists x \in \bigcup J : x \sqsubseteq K) \wedge \exists z \in \bigcup \text{Ob } \nu \forall K \in \text{up } z \exists y \in \bigcup Y : y \sqsubseteq K \Leftrightarrow$

$(\exists z \in \bigcup \text{Ob } \nu \forall K \in \text{up } z \exists x \in \bigcup I : x \sqsubseteq K \vee$

$\exists z \in \bigcup \text{Ob } \nu \forall K \in \text{up } z \exists x \in \bigcup J : x \sqsubseteq K) \wedge \exists z \in \bigcup \text{Ob } \nu \forall K \in \text{up } z \exists y \in \bigcup Y : y \sqsubseteq$