

$$\forall X \in \prod_{i \in D} \text{up } a_i, Y \in \prod_{i \in D} \text{up } b_i \exists x \in \prod_{i \in D} \text{atoms } \uparrow X_i, y \in \prod_{i \in D} \text{atoms } \uparrow Y_i \exists N \in \mathcal{F} \forall j \in N : x_j [f_j] y_j$$

where $D = \text{dom } f$.

Thus by the lemma $\exists N \in \mathcal{F} \forall i \in N : a_i [f_i] b_i$, that is $a \left[\prod^{[\mathcal{F}]} f \right] b$. \square

FiXme: TODO: when $\text{Pr}_j \prod_{i \in D}^{[\mathcal{F}]} a_i = a_j$?

1. More on product of reloids

FiXme: Move this to a more appropriate place.

DEFINITION 2087. $\prod_{i \in \text{dom } f}^{(Y)} f = \prod_{i \in \text{dom } f}^{(A)} (\text{FCD})f$ for an indexed family f of reloids.

PROPOSITION 2088.

$$a \left[\prod_{i \in \text{dom } f}^{(Y)} f \right] b \Leftrightarrow \forall i \in \text{dom } f : f_i \not\prec_{\text{Pr}_i}^{\text{RLD}} a \times^{\text{RLD}} \text{Pr}_i^{\text{RLD}} b.$$

PROOF. $f_i \not\prec_{\text{Pr}_i}^{\text{RLD}} a \times^{\text{FCD}} \text{Pr}_i^{\text{RLD}} b \Leftrightarrow (\text{FCD})f_i \sqsupseteq \text{Pr}_i^{\text{RLD}} a \times^{\text{FCD}} \text{Pr}_i^{\text{RLD}} b \Leftrightarrow a \left[(\text{FCD})f_i \right] b$. \square

EXAMPLE 2089. The functor p described by the formula (for atomic reloids a and b)

$$a p b \Leftrightarrow \forall i \in \text{dom } f : f_i \sqsupseteq \text{Pr}_i^{\text{RLD}} a \times^{\text{RLD}} \text{Pr}_i^{\text{RLD}} b$$

does not exist (in general), even if we restrict to 2-indexed families only.

PROOF. For the case if $f = \llbracket v, w \rrbracket$ is a 2-indexed family of reloids, the formula which we need to disprove takes the form:

$$a p b \Leftrightarrow v \sqsupseteq \text{dom } a \times^{\text{RLD}} \text{dom } b \wedge w \sqsupseteq \text{im } a \times^{\text{RLD}} \text{im } b.$$

Take $v = w = 1^{\text{Rel}}$ on an infinite set. Suppose for the contrary p exists and is a functor. Then

$$\forall X \in \text{up } a, Y \in \text{up } b \exists x \in \text{atoms } \uparrow X, y \in \text{atoms } \uparrow Y : x p y \Rightarrow a p b.$$

For a counter-example take $a = b$ to be a nontrivial ultrafilter. Then for every $X \in \text{up } a, Y \in \text{up } b$ take $x = y$ to be singletons on $X \cap Y$. We have $x p y$, but not $a p b$. \square