

That Φ_2^{-1} preserves composition and identities follows from the fact that it is an isomorphism.

That it preserves reversal follows from the formula $\langle f^{-1} \rangle = \langle p^{-1} \rangle^*$. \square

PROPOSITION 1614. The bijections Ψ_2 and Ψ_2^{-1} from the diagram at figure 1 preserves monovaluedness and injectivity.

PROOF. Because it is a functor which preserves reversal. \square

PROPOSITION 1615. The bijections Ψ_2 and Ψ_2^{-1} from the diagram at figure 1 preserves domain an image.

PROOF. $\text{im } f = \langle f \rangle \top = \langle p \rangle^* \top = \text{im } p$, likewise for domain. \square

PROPOSITION 1616. The bijections Ψ_2 and Ψ_2^{-1} from the diagram at figure 1 maps cartesian products to corresponding funcoidal products.

PROOF. $\langle A \times^{\text{FCD}} B \rangle X = \begin{cases} B & \text{if } X \not\asymp A \\ \perp & \text{if } X \asymp A \end{cases} = \langle A \times B \rangle^* X$. Likewise $\langle (A \times^{\text{FCD}} B)^{-1} \rangle Y = \langle (A \times B)^{-1} \rangle^* Y$. \square

Let Φ map a pointfree funcoid whose first component is c into the Galois connection whose lower adjoint is c . Then Φ is an isomorphism (theorem 1604) and Φ^{-1} maps a Galois connection whose lower adjoint is c into the pointfree funcoid whose first component is c .

Informally speaking, Φ replaces a relation r with its complement relations $\neg r$. Formally:

PROPOSITION 1617.

- 1°. For every path P in the diagram at figure 1 from binary relations between A and B to pointfree funcoids between $\mathcal{P}A$ and $\mathcal{P}B$ and every path Q in the diagram at figure 1 from Galois connections between $\mathcal{P}A$ and $\mathcal{P}B$ to binary relations between A and B , we have $Q\Phi Pr = \neg r$.
- 2°. For every path Q in the diagram at figure 1 from binary relations between A and B to pointfree funcoids between $\mathcal{P}A$ and $\mathcal{P}B$ and every path P in the diagram at figure 1 from Galois connections between $\mathcal{P}A$ and $\mathcal{P}B$ to binary relations between A and B , we have $P\Phi^{-1}Qr = \neg r$.

PROOF. We will prove only the second ($P \circ \Phi^{-1} \circ Q = \neg$), because the first ($Q \circ \Phi \circ P = \neg$) can be obtained from it by inverting the morphisms (and variable replacement).

Because the diagram is commutative, it is enough to prove it for some fixed P and Q . For example, we will prove $\Psi_2^{-1}\Phi^{-1}\Psi_4\Psi_2r = \neg r$.

$$\Psi_4\Psi_2r = \left(X \mapsto \neg \prod_{x \in X} \langle r \rangle^* \{x\}, Y \mapsto \prod_{y \in Y} \langle r \rangle^* \neg \{y\} \right).$$

$$\Phi^{-1}\Psi_4\Psi_2r \text{ is pointfree funcoid } f \text{ with } \langle f \rangle = X \mapsto \neg \prod_{x \in X} \langle r \rangle^* \{x\}.$$

$\Psi_2^{-1}\Phi^{-1}\Psi_4\Psi_2r$ is the relation consisting of (x, y) such that $\{x\} [f] \{y\}$ what is equivalent to: $\{y\} \neq \langle f \rangle \{x\}$; $\{y\} \neq \neg \langle r \rangle^* \{x\}$; $\{y\} \not\sqsubseteq \langle r \rangle^* \{x\}$; $y \notin \langle r \rangle^* \{x\}$.

So $\Psi_2^{-1}\Phi^{-1}\Psi_4\Psi_2r = \neg r$. \square

PROPOSITION 1618. Φ and Φ^{-1} preserve composition.

PROOF. By definitions of compositions and the fact that both pointfree funcoids and Galois connections are determined by the first component. \square