

It is tempting to conclude that  $\langle f \rangle$  is a lower adjoint to  $\langle f^{-1} \rangle$ . But that's false: We should disprove that  $\langle f \rangle X \sqsubseteq Y \Leftrightarrow X \sqsubseteq \langle f^{-1} \rangle Y$ .

For a counter-example, take  $f = \{0\} \times \mathbb{N}$ . Then our condition takes form  $Y = \mathbb{N} \Leftrightarrow X \sqsubseteq \{0\}$  for  $X \ni 0, Y \ni 0$  what obviously does not hold.

### 19.17. Binary relations are pointfree functors

Below for simplicity we will equate  $\mathcal{T}A$  with  $\mathcal{P}A$ .

**THEOREM 1606.** Pointfree functors  $f$  between powerset posets  $\mathcal{T}A$  and  $\mathcal{T}B$  bijectively (moreover this bijection is an order-isomorphism) correspond to morphisms  $p \in \mathbf{Rel}(A, B)$  by the formulas:

$$\langle f \rangle = \langle p \rangle^*, \quad \langle f^{-1} \rangle = \langle p^{-1} \rangle^*; \quad (30)$$

$$(x, y) \in \mathbf{GR} p \Leftrightarrow y \in \langle f \rangle \{x\} \Leftrightarrow x \in \langle f^{-1} \rangle \{y\}. \quad (31)$$

**PROOF.** Suppose  $p \in \mathbf{Rel}(A, B)$  and prove that there is a pointfree functor  $f$  conforming to (30). Really, for every  $X \in \mathcal{T}A, Y \in \mathcal{T}B$

$$\begin{aligned} Y \neq \langle f \rangle X &\Leftrightarrow Y \neq \langle p \rangle^* X \Leftrightarrow Y \neq \langle p \rangle X \Leftrightarrow \\ &X \neq \langle p^{-1} \rangle Y \Leftrightarrow X \neq \langle p^{-1} \rangle^* Y \Leftrightarrow X \neq \langle f^{-1} \rangle Y. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose  $f \in \mathbf{pFCD}(\mathcal{T}A, \mathcal{T}B)$  and prove that the relation defined by the formula (31) exists. To prove it, it's enough to show that  $y \in \langle f \rangle \{x\} \Leftrightarrow x \in \langle f^{-1} \rangle \{y\}$ . Really,

$$y \in \langle f \rangle \{x\} \Leftrightarrow \{y\} \neq \langle f \rangle \{x\} \Leftrightarrow \{x\} \neq \langle f^{-1} \rangle \{y\} \Leftrightarrow x \in \langle f^{-1} \rangle \{y\}.$$

It remains to prove that functions defined by (30) and (31) are mutually inverse. (That these functions are monotone is obvious.)

Let  $p_0 \in \mathbf{Rel}(A, B)$  and  $f \in \mathbf{pFCD}(\mathcal{T}A, \mathcal{T}B)$  corresponds to  $p_0$  by the formula (30); let  $p_1 \in \mathbf{Rel}(A, B)$  corresponds to  $f$  by the formula (31). Then  $p_0 = p_1$  because

$$(x, y) \in \mathbf{GR} p_0 \Leftrightarrow y \in \langle p_0 \rangle^* \{x\} \Leftrightarrow y \in \langle f \rangle \{x\} \Leftrightarrow (x, y) \in \mathbf{GR} p_1.$$

Let now  $f_0 \in \mathbf{pFCD}(\mathcal{T}A, \mathcal{T}B)$  and  $p \in \mathbf{Rel}(A, B)$  corresponds to  $f_0$  by the formula (31); let  $f_1 \in \mathbf{pFCD}(\mathcal{T}A, \mathcal{T}B)$  corresponds to  $p$  by the formula (30). Then  $(x, y) \in \mathbf{GR} p \Leftrightarrow y \in \langle f_0 \rangle \{x\}$  and  $\langle f_1 \rangle = \langle p \rangle^*$ ; thus

$$y \in \langle f_1 \rangle \{x\} \Leftrightarrow y \in \langle p \rangle^* \{x\} \Leftrightarrow (x, y) \in \mathbf{GR} p \Leftrightarrow y \in \langle f_0 \rangle \{x\}.$$

So  $\langle f_0 \rangle = \langle f_1 \rangle$ . Similarly  $\langle f_0^{-1} \rangle = \langle f_1^{-1} \rangle$ .  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 1607.** The bijection defined by the theorem 1606 preserves composition and identities, that is is a functor between categories  $\mathbf{Rel}$  and  $(A, B) \mapsto \mathbf{pFCD}(\mathcal{T}A, \mathcal{T}B)$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $\langle f \rangle = \langle p \rangle^*$  and  $\langle g \rangle = \langle q \rangle^*$ . Then  $\langle g \circ f \rangle = \langle g \rangle \circ \langle f \rangle = \langle q \rangle^* \circ \langle p \rangle^* = \langle q \circ p \rangle^*$ . Likewise  $\langle (g \circ f)^{-1} \rangle = \langle (q \circ p)^{-1} \rangle^*$ . So it preserves composition.

Let  $p = 1_{\mathbf{Rel}}^A$  for some set  $A$ . Then  $\langle f \rangle = \langle p \rangle^* = \langle 1_{\mathbf{Rel}}^A \rangle^* = \text{id}_{\mathcal{P}A}$  and likewise  $\langle f^{-1} \rangle = \text{id}_{\mathcal{P}A}$ , that is  $f$  is an identity pointfree functor. So it preserves identities.  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 1608.** The bijection defined by theorem 1606 preserves reversal.

**PROOF.**  $\langle f^{-1} \rangle = \langle p^{-1} \rangle^*$ .  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 1609.** The bijection defined by theorem 1606 preserves monoaluedness and injectivity.