

\Rightarrow . Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be isomorphic. Then there are sets $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and a bijective **Set**-morphism $F : A \rightarrow B$ such that $\langle F \rangle^* : \mathcal{P}A \cap \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}B \cap \mathcal{B}$ is a bijection.

Obviously $f = (\uparrow^{\text{RLD}} F)|_{\mathcal{A}}$ is monovalued and injective.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{im } f &= \\ & \prod^{\mathfrak{F}} \left\{ \frac{\text{im } G}{G \in \text{up}(\uparrow^{\text{RLD}} F)|_{\mathcal{A}}} \right\} = \\ & \prod^{\mathfrak{F}} \left\{ \frac{\text{im}(H \cap F|_X)}{H \in \text{up}(\uparrow^{\text{RLD}} F)|_{\mathcal{A}}, X \in \mathcal{A}} \right\} = \\ & \prod^{\mathfrak{F}} \left\{ \frac{\text{im } F|_P}{P \in \mathcal{A}} \right\} = \\ & \prod^{\mathfrak{F}} \left\{ \frac{\langle F \rangle^* P}{P \in \mathcal{A}} \right\} = \\ & \prod^{\mathfrak{F}} \left\{ \frac{\langle F \rangle^* P}{P \in \mathcal{P}A \cap \mathcal{A}} \right\} = \\ & \prod^{\mathfrak{F}} (\mathcal{P}B \cap \mathcal{B}) = \\ & \prod^{\mathfrak{F}} \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\text{dom } f = \mathcal{A}$ and $\text{im } f = \mathcal{B}$.

\Leftarrow . Let f be a monovalued injective reloid such that $\text{dom } f = \mathcal{A}$ and $\text{im } f = \mathcal{B}$. Then there exist a function F' and an injective binary relation F'' such that $F', F'' \in f$. Thus $F = F' \cap F''$ is an injection such that $F \in f$. The function F is a bijection from $A = \text{dom } F$ to $B = \text{im } F$. The function $\langle F \rangle^*$ is an injection on $\mathcal{P}A \cap \mathcal{A}$ (and moreover on $\mathcal{P}A$). It's simple to show that $\forall X \in \mathcal{P}A \cap \mathcal{A} : \langle F \rangle^* X \in \mathcal{P}B \cap \mathcal{B}$ and similarly

$$\forall Y \in \mathcal{P}B \cap \mathcal{B} : (\langle F \rangle^*)^{-1} Y = \langle F^{-1} \rangle^* Y \in \mathcal{P}A \cap \mathcal{A}.$$

Thus $\langle F \rangle^*|_{\mathcal{P}A \cap \mathcal{A}}$ is a bijection $\mathcal{P}A \cap \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}B \cap \mathcal{B}$. So filters \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are isomorphic. \square

PROPOSITION 1292. $(\geq_1) = (\sqsupseteq) \circ (\geq_2)$ (when we limit to small filters).

PROOF. $\mathcal{A} \geq_1 \mathcal{B}$ iff exists a function $f : \text{Base}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Base}(\mathcal{B})$ such that $\mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \langle \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} f \rangle \mathcal{A}$. But $\mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \langle \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} f \rangle \mathcal{A}$ is equivalent to $\exists \mathcal{B}' \in \mathcal{F} : (\mathcal{B}' \sqsupseteq \mathcal{B} \wedge \mathcal{B}' = \langle \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} f \rangle \mathcal{A})$. So $\mathcal{A} \geq_1 \mathcal{B}$ is equivalent to existence of $\mathcal{B}' \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathcal{B}' \sqsupseteq \mathcal{B}$ and existence of a function $f : \text{Base}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Base}(\mathcal{B})$ such that $\mathcal{B}' = \langle \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} f \rangle \mathcal{A}$. This is equivalent to $\mathcal{A} ((\sqsupseteq) \circ (\geq_2)) \mathcal{B}$. \square

PROPOSITION 1293. If a and b are ultrafilters then $b \geq_1 a \Leftrightarrow b \geq_2 a$.

PROOF. We need to prove only $b \geq_1 a \Rightarrow b \geq_2 a$. If $b \geq_1 a$ then there exists a monovalued reloid $f : \text{Base}(b) \rightarrow \text{Base}(a)$ such that $\text{dom } f = b$ and $\text{im } f \sqsupseteq a$. Then $\text{im } f = \text{im}(\text{FCD})f \in \{\perp^{\mathcal{F}(\text{Base}(a))}\} \cup \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}(\text{Base}(a))}$ because $(\text{FCD})f$ is a monovalued funcoid. So $\text{im } f = a$ (taken into account $\text{im } f \neq \perp^{\mathcal{F}(\text{Base}(a))}$) and thus $b \geq_2 a$. \square

COROLLARY 1294. For atomic filters \geq_1 is the same as \geq_2 .

Thus I will write simply \geq for atomic filters.