

PROOF. Really,  $g = \bigsqcup_{x \in \text{Src } f} (\uparrow^{\text{Src } f} \{x\} \times^{\text{RLD}} \langle f \rangle^* @ \{x\})$  for a complete reloid  $g$  and thus

$$(\text{FCD})g = \bigsqcup_{x \in \text{Src } f} (\text{FCD})(\uparrow^{\text{Src } f} \{x\} \times^{\text{RLD}} \langle f \rangle^* @ \{x\}) = \bigsqcup_{x \in \text{Src } f} (\uparrow^{\text{Src } f} \{x\} \times^{\text{FCD}} \langle f \rangle^* @ \{x\}) = \theta g.$$

□

LEMMA 1121.  $(\text{RLD})_{\text{out}} f = \theta^{-1} f$  for every complete functor  $f$ .

PROOF. We have  $f = \bigsqcup_{x \in \text{Src } f} (\uparrow^{\text{Src } f} \{x\} \times^{\text{FCD}} \langle f \rangle^* @ \{x\})$ . We need to prove  $(\text{RLD})_{\text{out}} f = \bigsqcup_{x \in \text{Src } f} (\uparrow^{\text{Src } f} \{x\} \times^{\text{RLD}} \langle f \rangle^* @ \{x\})$ .

Really,  $(\text{RLD})_{\text{out}} f \supseteq \bigsqcup_{x \in \text{Src } f} (\uparrow^{\text{Src } f} \{x\} \times^{\text{RLD}} \langle f \rangle^* @ \{x\})$ .

It remains to prove that  $\bigsqcup_{x \in \text{Src } f} (\uparrow^{\text{Src } f} \{x\} \times^{\text{RLD}} \langle f \rangle^* @ \{x\}) \supseteq (\text{RLD})_{\text{out}} f$ .

Let  $L \in \text{up} \bigsqcup_{x \in \text{Src } f} (\uparrow^{\text{Src } f} \{x\} \times^{\text{RLD}} \langle f \rangle^* @ \{x\})$ . We will prove  $L \in \text{up}(\text{RLD})_{\text{out}} f$ .

We have

$$L \in \bigcap_{x \in \text{Src } f} \text{up}(\uparrow^{\text{Src } f} \{x\} \times^{\text{RLD}} \langle f \rangle^* @ \{x\}).$$

$\langle L \rangle^* \{x\} = G(x)$  for some  $G(x) \in \text{up} \langle f \rangle^* @ \{x\}$  (because  $L \in \text{up}(\uparrow^{\text{Src } f} \{x\} \times^{\text{RLD}} \langle f \rangle^* @ \{x\})$ ).

Thus  $L = G \in \text{up } f$  (because  $f$  is complete). Thus  $L \in \text{up } f$  and so  $L \in \text{up}(\text{RLD})_{\text{out}} f$ .

□

PROPOSITION 1122.  $(\text{FCD})$  and  $(\text{RLD})_{\text{out}}$  form mutually inverse bijections between complete reloids and complete functors.

PROOF. From two last lemmas.

□

THEOREM 1123. The diagram at the figure 1 (with the “unnamed” arrow from  $\text{ComplRLD}(A, B)$  to  $\mathcal{F}(B)^A$  defined as the inverse isomorphism of its opposite arrow) is a commutative diagram (in category **Set**), every arrow in this diagram is an isomorphism. Every cycle in this diagram is an identity (therefore “parallel” arrows are mutually inverse). The arrows preserve order.

