

- The morphisms from a filter  $\mathcal{A}$  to a filter  $\mathcal{B}$  are triples  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, f)$  where  $f \in \text{RLD}(\text{Base}(\mathcal{A}), \text{Base}(\mathcal{B}))$  and  $\text{dom } f \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\text{im } f \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}$ .
- The composition is defined by the formula  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, g) \circ (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, f) = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, g \circ f)$ .
- Identity morphism for a filter  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\text{id}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{RLD}}$ .

To prove that it is really a category is trivial.

PROPOSITION 1031.  $\uparrow^{\text{RLD}}$  is a functor from  $\mathbf{Rel}$  to  $\text{RLD}$ .

PROOF.  $\uparrow^{\text{RLD}}(g \circ f) = \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} g \circ \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} f$  was proved above.  $\uparrow^{\text{RLD}} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{Rel}} = 1_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{RLD}}$  is by definition.  $\square$

### 8.6. Monovalued and injective reloids

Following the idea of definition of monovalued morphism let's call *monovalued* such a reloid  $f$  that  $f \circ f^{-1} \sqsubseteq \text{id}_{\text{im } f}^{\text{RLD}}$ .

Similarly, I will call a reloid *injective* when  $f^{-1} \circ f \sqsubseteq \text{id}_{\text{dom } f}^{\text{RLD}}$ .

OBVIOUS 1032. A reloid  $f$  is

- monovalued iff  $f \circ f^{-1} \sqsubseteq 1_{\text{Dst } f}^{\text{RLD}}$ ;
- injective iff  $f^{-1} \circ f \sqsubseteq 1_{\text{Src } f}^{\text{RLD}}$ .

In other words, a reloid is monovalued (injective) when it is a monovalued (injective) morphism of the category of reloids.

Monovaluedness is dual of injectivity.

OBVIOUS 1033.

- 1°. A morphism  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, f)$  of the category of reloid triples is monovalued iff the reloid  $f$  is monovalued.
- 2°. A morphism  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, f)$  of the category of reloid triples is injective iff the reloid  $f$  is injective.

THEOREM 1034.

- 1°. A reloid  $f$  is a monovalued iff there exists a **Set**-morphism (monovalued **Rel**-morphism)  $F \in \text{up } f$ .
- 2°. A reloid  $f$  is a injective iff there exists an injective **Rel**-morphism  $F \in \text{up } f$ .
- 3°. A reloid  $f$  is a both monovalued and injective iff there exists an injection (a monovalued and injective **Rel**-morphism = injective **Set**-morphism)  $F \in \text{up } f$ .

PROOF. The reverse implications are obvious. Let's prove the direct implications:

- 1°. Let  $f$  be a monovalued reloid. Then  $f \circ f^{-1} \sqsubseteq 1_{\text{Dst } f}^{\text{RLD}}$ , that is

$$\prod^{\text{RLD}} \left\{ \frac{F \circ F^{-1}}{F \in \text{up } f} \right\} \sqsubseteq 1_{\text{Dst } f}^{\text{RLD}}.$$

It's simple to show that  $\left\{ \frac{F \circ F^{-1}}{F \in \text{up } f} \right\}$  is a filter base. Consequently there exists  $F \in \text{up } f$  such that  $F \circ F^{-1} \sqsubseteq 1_{\text{Dst } f}^{\text{RLD}}$  that is  $F$  is monovalued.

- 2°. Similar.

- 3°. Let  $f$  be a both monovalued and injective reloid. Then by proved above there exist  $F, G \in \text{up } f$  such that  $F$  is monovalued and  $G$  is injective. Thus  $F \sqcap G \in \text{up } f$  is both monovalued and injective.

$\square$