

$\langle f \circ f^{-1} \rangle p = \langle f \rangle \langle f^{-1} \rangle p \sqsupseteq \langle f \rangle a \sqsupseteq q$; $\langle f \circ f^{-1} \rangle p \not\sqsupseteq p$ and $\langle f \circ f^{-1} \rangle p \neq \perp_{\mathcal{F}(\text{Dst } f)}$. So it cannot be $f \circ f^{-1} \sqsubseteq 1_{\text{Dst } f}^{\text{FCD}}$.

$2^\circ \Rightarrow 3^\circ$. Obvious.

$1^\circ \Rightarrow 2^\circ$.

$$\left\langle \left(\prod G \right) \circ f \right\rangle x = \left\langle \prod G \right\rangle \langle f \rangle x = \prod_{g \in G} \langle g \rangle \langle f \rangle x = \prod_{g \in G} \langle g \circ f \rangle x = \left\langle \prod_{g \in G} (g \circ f) \right\rangle x$$

for every atomic filter object $x \in \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}(\text{Src } f)}$. Thus $(\prod G) \circ f = \prod_{g \in G} (g \circ f)$.

$3^\circ \Rightarrow 1^\circ$. Take $g = a \times^{\text{FCD}} y$ and $h = b \times^{\text{FCD}} y$ for arbitrary atomic filter objects $a \neq b$ and y . We have $g \sqcap h = \perp$; thus $(g \circ f) \sqcap (h \circ f) = (g \sqcap h) \circ f = \perp$ and thus impossible $x [f] a \wedge x [f] b$ as otherwise $x [g \circ f] y$ and $x [h \circ f] y$ so $x [(g \circ f) \sqcap (h \circ f)] y$. Thus f is monovalued. \square

COROLLARY 959. A binary relation corresponds to a monovalued funcoïd iff it is a function.

PROOF. Because $\forall I, J \in \mathcal{P}(\text{im } f) : \langle f^{-1} \rangle^* (I \sqcap J) = \langle f^{-1} \rangle^* I \sqcap \langle f^{-1} \rangle^* J$ is true for a funcoïd f corresponding to a binary relation if and only if it is a function (see proposition 385). \square

REMARK 960. This corollary can be reformulated as follows: For binary relations (principal funcoïds) the classic concept of monovaluedness and monovaluedness in the above defined sense of monovaluedness of a funcoïd are the same.

THEOREM 961. If f, g are funcoïds, $f \sqsubseteq g$ and g is monovalued then $g|_{\text{dom } f} = f$.

PROOF. Obviously $g|_{\text{dom } f} \sqsupseteq f$. Suppose for contrary that $g|_{\text{dom } f} \sqsubset f$. Then there exists an atom $a \in \text{atoms dom } f$ such that $\langle g|_{\text{dom } f} \rangle a \neq \langle f \rangle a$ that is $\langle g \rangle a \sqsubset \langle f \rangle a$ what is impossible. \square

7.16. Open maps

DEFINITION 962. An *open map* from a topological space to a topological space is a function which maps open sets into open sets.

An obvious generalization of this is *open map* f from an endofuncoïd μ to an endofuncoïd ν , which is by definition a function (or rather a principal, entirely defined, monovalued funcoïd) from $\text{Ob } \mu$ to $\text{Ob } \nu$ such that

$$\forall x \in \text{Ob } \mu, V \in \langle \mu \rangle^* \{x\} : \langle f \rangle^* V \sqsupseteq \langle \nu \rangle \langle f \rangle^* @\{x\}.$$

This formula is equivalent (exercise!) to

$$\forall x \in \text{Ob } \mu : \langle f \rangle \langle \mu \rangle^* @\{x\} \sqsupseteq \langle \nu \rangle \langle f \rangle^* @\{x\}.$$

It can be abstracted/simplified further (now for an *arbitrary* funcoïd f from $\text{Ob } \mu$ to $\text{Ob } \nu$):

$$\text{Compl}(f \circ \mu) \sqsupseteq \text{Compl}(\nu \circ f).$$

DEFINITION 963. An *open funcoïd* from an endofuncoïd μ to an endofuncoïd ν is a funcoïd f from $\text{Ob } \mu$ to $\text{Ob } \nu$ such that $\text{Compl}(f \circ \mu) \sqsupseteq \text{Compl}(\nu \circ f)$.

OBVIOUS 964. A funcoïd f is open iff $f \circ \mu \sqsupseteq \text{Compl}(\nu \circ f)$.

THEOREM 965. Let μ, ν, π be endofuncoïds. Let f be an principal monovalued open funcoïd from $\text{Ob } \mu$ to $\text{Ob } \nu$ and g is a open funcoïd from $\text{Ob } \nu$ to $\text{Ob } \pi$. Then $g \circ f$ is an open funcoïd from $\text{Ob } \mu$ to $\text{Ob } \pi$.