

PROOF. For every  $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{F}(\text{Src } f)$ ,  $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{F}(\text{Dst } g)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X} [g \circ f] \mathcal{Y} &\Leftrightarrow \\ \mathcal{Y} \sqcap \langle g \circ f \rangle \mathcal{X} \neq \perp &\Leftrightarrow \\ \mathcal{Y} \sqcap \langle g \rangle \langle f \rangle \mathcal{X} \neq \perp &\Leftrightarrow \\ \langle f \rangle \mathcal{X} [g] \mathcal{Y} &\Leftrightarrow \\ \mathcal{X} ([g] \circ \langle f \rangle) \mathcal{Y} & \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [g \circ f] &= \\ [(f^{-1} \circ g^{-1})^{-1}] &= \\ [f^{-1} \circ g^{-1}]^{-1} &= \\ ([f^{-1}] \circ \langle g^{-1} \rangle)^{-1} &= \\ \langle g^{-1} \rangle^{-1} \circ [f]. & \end{aligned}$$

□

The following theorem is a variant for funcoids of the statement (which defines compositions of relations) that  $x (g \circ f) z \Leftrightarrow \exists y : (x f y \wedge y g z)$  for every  $x$  and  $z$  and every binary relations  $f$  and  $g$ .

THEOREM 852. For every sets  $A, B, C$  and  $f \in \text{FCD}(A, B)$ ,  $g \in \text{FCD}(B, C)$  and  $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{F}(A)$ ,  $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathcal{F}(C)$

$$\mathcal{X} [g \circ f] \mathcal{Z} \Leftrightarrow \exists y \in \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}(B)} : (\mathcal{X} [f] y \wedge y [g] \mathcal{Z}).$$

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} \exists y \in \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}(B)} : (\mathcal{X} [f] y \wedge y [g] \mathcal{Z}) &\Leftrightarrow \\ \exists y \in \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}(B)} : (\mathcal{Z} \sqcap \langle g \rangle y \neq \perp \wedge y \sqcap \langle f \rangle \mathcal{X} \neq \perp) &\Leftrightarrow \\ \exists y \in \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}(B)} : (\mathcal{Z} \sqcap \langle g \rangle y \neq \perp \wedge y \sqsubseteq \langle f \rangle \mathcal{X}) &\Rightarrow \\ \mathcal{Z} \sqcap \langle g \rangle \langle f \rangle \mathcal{X} \neq \perp &\Leftrightarrow \\ \mathcal{X} [g \circ f] \mathcal{Z}. & \end{aligned}$$

Reversely, if  $\mathcal{X} [g \circ f] \mathcal{Z}$  then  $\langle f \rangle \mathcal{X} [g] \mathcal{Z}$ , consequently there exists  $y \in \text{atoms} \langle f \rangle \mathcal{X}$  such that  $y [g] \mathcal{Z}$ ; we have  $\mathcal{X} [f] y$ . □

THEOREM 853. For every sets  $A, B, C$

1°.  $f \circ (g \sqcup h) = f \circ g \sqcup f \circ h$  for  $g, h \in \text{FCD}(A, B)$ ,  $f \in \text{FCD}(B, C)$ ;

2°.  $(g \sqcup h) \circ f = g \circ f \sqcup h \circ f$  for  $g, h \in \text{FCD}(B, C)$ ,  $f \in \text{FCD}(A, B)$ .

PROOF. I will prove only the first equality because the other is analogous.

For every  $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{F}(A)$ ,  $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathcal{F}(C)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X} [f \circ (g \sqcup h)] \mathcal{Z} &\Leftrightarrow \\ \exists y \in \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}(B)} : (\mathcal{X} [g \sqcup h] y \wedge y [f] \mathcal{Z}) &\Leftrightarrow \\ \exists y \in \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}(B)} : ((\mathcal{X} [g] y \vee \mathcal{X} [h] y) \wedge y [f] \mathcal{Z}) &\Leftrightarrow \\ \exists y \in \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}(B)} : ((\mathcal{X} [g] y \wedge y [f] \mathcal{Z}) \vee (\mathcal{X} [h] y \wedge y [f] \mathcal{Z})) &\Leftrightarrow \\ \exists y \in \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}(B)} : (\mathcal{X} [g] y \wedge y [f] \mathcal{Z}) \vee \exists y \in \text{atoms}^{\mathcal{F}(B)} : (\mathcal{X} [h] y \wedge y [f] \mathcal{Z}) &\Leftrightarrow \\ \mathcal{X} [f \circ g] \mathcal{Z} \vee \mathcal{X} [f \circ h] \mathcal{Z} &\Leftrightarrow \\ \mathcal{X} [f \circ g \sqcup f \circ h] \mathcal{Z}. & \end{aligned}$$

□