

PROOF.  $\exists \mathcal{F} \in K : A \in \text{up } \mathcal{F}$  for every infinite set  $A$ .

The set  $A$  can be partitioned into two infinite sets  $A_1, A_2$ .

Take  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \in K$  such that  $A_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2$ .

$\mathcal{F}_1 \neq \mathcal{F}_2$  because otherwise  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are not disjoint.

Obviously  $A \in \mathcal{F}_1$  and  $A \in \mathcal{F}_2$ .

So there exist two different  $\mathcal{F} \in K$  such that  $A \in \text{up } \mathcal{F}$ . Consequently  $\exists \mathcal{F} \in K \setminus \{\mathcal{G}\} : A \in \text{up } \mathcal{F}$  that is  $\bigsqcup(K \setminus \{\mathcal{G}\}) = \Omega$ .  $\square$

EXAMPLE 698. There exists a filter on a set which cannot be weakly partitioned into ultrafilters.

PROOF. Consider cofinite filter  $\Omega$  on any infinite set.

Suppose  $K$  is its weak partition into ultrafilters. Then  $x \asymp \bigsqcup(K \setminus \{x\})$  for some ultrafilter  $x \in K$ .

We have  $\bigsqcup(K \setminus \{x\}) \sqsubset \bigsqcup K$  (otherwise  $x \sqsubseteq \bigsqcup(K \setminus \{x\})$ ) what is impossible due the last lemma.  $\square$

COROLLARY 699. There exists a filter on a set which cannot be strongly partitioned into ultrafilters.

### 5.37. Open problems about filters

Under which conditions  $a \setminus * b$  and  $a \# b$  are complementive to  $a$ ?

Generalize straight maps for arbitrary posets.

### 5.38. Further notation

Below to define functors and relocks we need a fixed powerset filtrator.

Let  $(\mathcal{F}A, \mathcal{T}A)$  be an arbitrary but fixed powerset filtrator. This filtrator exists by the theorem 459.

I will call elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  *filter objects*.

For brevity we will denote lattice operations on  $\mathcal{F}A$  without indexes (for example, take  $\prod S = \prod^{\mathcal{F}A} S$  for  $S \in \mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}A$ ).

Note that above we also took operations on  $\mathcal{T}A$  without indexes (for example, take  $\prod S = \prod^{\mathcal{T}A} S$  for  $S \in \mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}A$ ).

Because we identify  $\mathcal{T}A$  with principal elements of  $\mathcal{F}A$ , the notation like  $\prod S$  for  $S \in \mathcal{P}\mathcal{T}A$  would be inconsistent (it can mean both  $\prod^{\mathcal{T}A} S$  or  $\prod^{\mathcal{F}A} S$ ). We explicitly state that  $\prod S$  in this case does *not* mean  $\prod^{\mathcal{F}A} S$ .

For  $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{F}$  we will denote  $\text{GR } \mathcal{X}$  the corresponding filter on  $\mathcal{P}A$ . It is a convenient notation to describe relations between filters and sets, consider for example the formula:  $\{x\} \subseteq \bigcap \text{GR } \mathcal{X}$ .

We will denote lattice operations without pointing a specific set like  $\prod^{\mathcal{F}} S = \prod^{\mathcal{F}(A)} S$  for a set  $S \in \mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}(A)$ .

### 5.39. Equivalent filters and rebase of filters

**FiXme:** This section was checked for errors but less carefully than the rest of the book.

Throughout this section we will assume that  $\mathfrak{Z}$  is a lattice.

An important example:  $\mathfrak{Z}$  is the lattice of all small (regarding some Grothendieck universe) sets. (This  $\mathfrak{Z}$  is not a powerset, and even not a complete lattice.)

Throughout this section I will use the word *filter* to denote a filter on a sublattice  $DA$  where  $A \in \mathfrak{Z}$  (if not told explicitly to be a filter on some other set).