

Obviously S' is nonempty and binarily meet-closed. So S' is a generalized filter base. Obviously $\perp^{\mathfrak{A}} \notin S$. So by properties of generalized filter bases $\prod^{\mathfrak{A}} S' \neq \perp^{\mathfrak{A}}$. But obviously $\prod^{\mathfrak{A}} S = \prod^{\mathfrak{A}} S'$. So $\prod^{\mathfrak{A}} S \neq \perp^{\mathfrak{A}}$. \square

COROLLARY 572. The following is an implications tuple:

- 1°. $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ is a powerset filtrator.
- 2°. $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ is a primary filtrator over a meet-semilattice with least element.
- 3°. Let $S \in \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{B}$ such that $S \neq \emptyset$ and $A_0 \sqcap^{\mathfrak{B}} \dots \sqcap^{\mathfrak{B}} A_n \neq \perp^{\mathfrak{B}}$ for every $A_0, \dots, A_n \in S$. Then $\prod^{\mathfrak{A}} S \neq \perp^{\mathfrak{A}}$.

PROOF.

1° \Rightarrow 2°. Obvious.

2° \Rightarrow 3°. Because $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ is binarily meet-closed (by the theorem 532). \square

THEOREM 573. The following is an implications tuple:

- 1°. $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ is a powerset filtrator.
- 2°. $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ is a primary filtrator over a bounded meet-semilattice.
- 3°. \mathfrak{A} is an atomic lattice.

PROOF.

1° \Rightarrow 2°. Obvious.

2° \Rightarrow 3°. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{A}$. Let choose (by Kuratowski's lemma) a maximal chain S from $\perp^{\mathfrak{A}}$ to \mathcal{F} . Let $S' = S \setminus \{\perp^{\mathfrak{A}}\}$. $a = \prod^{\mathfrak{A}} S' \neq \perp^{\mathfrak{A}}$ by properties of generalized filter bases (the corollary 570 which uses the fact that \mathfrak{B} is a meet-semilattice with least element). If $a \notin S$ then the chain S can be extended adding there element a because $\perp^{\mathfrak{A}} \sqsubset a \sqsubseteq \mathcal{X}$ for any $\mathcal{X} \in S'$ what contradicts to maximality of the chain. So $a \in S$ and consequently $a \in S'$. Obviously a is the minimal element of S' . Consequently (taking into account maximality of the chain) there is no $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $\perp^{\mathfrak{A}} \sqsubset \mathcal{Y} \sqsubset a$. So a is an atomic filter. Obviously $a \sqsubseteq \mathcal{F}$. \square

DEFINITION 574. A complete lattice is *co-compact* iff $\prod S = \perp$ for a set S of elements of this lattice implies that there is its finite subset $T \subseteq S$ such that $\prod T = \perp$.

THEOREM 575. The following is an implications tuple:

- 1°. $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ is a powerset filtrator.
- 2°. $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ is a primary filtrator over a bounded meet-semilattice.
- 3°. \mathfrak{A} is co-compact.

PROOF.

1° \Rightarrow 2°. Obvious.

2° \Rightarrow 3°. Poset \mathfrak{A} is complete by corollary 515.

If $\perp \in \text{up} \prod^{\mathfrak{A}} S$ then there are $K_i \in \text{up} \bigcup S$ such that $\perp \in \text{up}(K_0 \sqcap^{\mathfrak{B}} \dots \sqcap^{\mathfrak{B}} K_n)$ that is $K_0 \sqcap^{\mathfrak{B}} \dots \sqcap^{\mathfrak{B}} K_n = \perp$ from which easily follows $\mathcal{F}_0 \sqcap^{\mathfrak{A}} \dots \sqcap^{\mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{F}_n = \perp$ for some $\mathcal{F}_i \in S$. \square

5.18. Separability of filters

PROPOSITION 576. The following is an implications tuple:

- 1°. $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ is a powerset filtrator.
- 2°. $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$ is a primary filtrator over a boolean lattice.