

Proof. If $S = \emptyset$ then $\prod \left\{ \prod^{\text{RLD}} a \mid a \in S \right\} = \prod \emptyset = 1^{\text{RLD}(\mathfrak{A})}$ and $\prod_{i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A}} \prod \langle \uparrow^{\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{A}_i)} \rangle \text{Pr}_i S = \prod_{i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A}} \prod \langle \uparrow^{\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{A}_i)} \rangle \emptyset = \prod_{i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A}} \prod \emptyset = \prod_{i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A}} 1^{\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{A}_i)} = 1^{\text{RLD}(\mathfrak{A})}$, thus $\prod \left\{ \prod^{\text{RLD}} a \mid a \in S \right\} = \prod_{i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A}} \prod \langle \uparrow^{\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{A}_i)} \rangle \text{Pr}_i S$.

Let $S \neq \emptyset$.

$\prod \langle \uparrow^{\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{A}_i)} \rangle \text{Pr}_i S \sqsubseteq \prod \langle \uparrow^{\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{A}_i)} \rangle \{a_i\} = a_i$ for every $a \in S$ because $a_i \in \text{Pr}_i S$. Thus

$$\prod_{i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A}} \prod \langle \uparrow^{\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{A}_i)} \rangle \text{Pr}_i S \sqsubseteq \prod^{\text{RLD}} a;$$

$$\prod \left\{ \prod^{\text{RLD}} a \mid a \in S \right\} \sqsupseteq \prod_{i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A}} \prod \langle \uparrow^{\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{A}_i)} \rangle \text{Pr}_i S.$$

Now suppose $F \in \text{GR} \prod_{i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A}} \prod \langle \uparrow^{\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{A}_i)} \rangle \text{Pr}_i S$. Then there exist $X \in \prod_{i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A}} \prod \langle \uparrow^{\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{A}_i)} \rangle \text{Pr}_i S$ such that $F \supseteq \prod X$. It is enough to prove that there exist $a \in S$ such that $F \in \text{GR} \prod^{\text{RLD}} a$. For this it is enough $\prod X \in \text{GR} \prod^{\text{RLD}} a$.

Really, $X_i \in \prod \langle \uparrow^{\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{A}_i)} \rangle \text{Pr}_i S$ thus $X_i \in a_i$ for every $a \in S$ because $\text{Pr}_i S \supseteq \{a_i\}$.

Thus $\prod X \in \text{GR} \prod^{\text{RLD}} a$. □

Definition 17.175. I call a multireloid *principal* iff its graph is a principal filter. [TODO: Prove that principal multireloids are the same as multireloid corresponding to a relation.]

Definition 17.176. I call a multireloid *convex* iff it is a join of reloidal products.

Theorem 17.177. $\text{StarComp}(a \sqcup b; f) = \text{StarComp}(a; f) \sqcup \text{StarComp}(b; f)$ for multireloids a, b and an indexed family f of reloids with $\text{Src } f_i = (\text{form } a)_i = (\text{form } b)_i$.

Proof. $\text{GR}(\text{StarComp}(a; f) \sqcup \text{StarComp}(b; f)) = \prod \left\{ \uparrow^{\text{RLD}(\text{form } a)} \text{StarComp}(A; F) \mid A \in \text{GR } a, F \in \prod_{i \in n} \text{GR } f_i \right\} \sqcup \prod \left\{ \uparrow^{\text{RLD}(\text{form } b)} \text{StarComp}(B; F) \mid B \in \text{GR } b, F \in \prod_{i \in n} \text{GR } f_i \right\} = \prod \left\{ \uparrow^{\text{RLD}(\text{form } a)} \text{StarComp}(A; F) \sqcup \uparrow^{\text{RLD}(\text{form } b)} \text{StarComp}(B; F) \mid A \in \text{GR } a, B \in \text{GR } b, F \in \prod_{i \in n} \text{GR } f_i \right\} = \prod \left\{ \uparrow^{\text{RLD}(\text{form } a)} (\text{StarComp}(A; F) \cup \text{StarComp}(B; F)) \mid A \in \text{GR } a, B \in \text{GR } b, F \in \prod_{i \in n} \text{GR } f_i \right\} = \prod \left\{ \uparrow^{\text{RLD}(\text{form } a)} (\text{StarComp}(A \sqcup B; F)) \mid A \in \text{GR } a, B \in \text{GR } b, F \in \prod_{i \in n} \text{GR } f_i \right\} = \prod \left\{ \uparrow^{\text{RLD}(\text{form } a)} \text{StarComp}(C; F) \mid C \in \text{GR}(a \sqcup b), F \in \prod_{i \in n} \text{GR } f_i \right\} = \text{GR } \text{StarComp}(a \sqcup b; f)$. □

Conjecture 17.178. $f \sqsubseteq \prod^{\text{RLD}} a \Leftrightarrow \forall i \in \text{arity } f: \text{Pr}_i^{\text{RLD}} f \sqsubseteq a_i$ for every multireloid f and $a_i \in \mathfrak{F}((\text{form } f)_i)$ for every $i \in \text{arity } f$.

17.12.1 Starred reloidal product

Tychonoff product of topological spaces inspired me the following definition, which seems possibly useful just like Tychonoff product:

Definition 17.179. Let a be an n -indexed (n is an arbitrary index set) family of filters on sets. $\prod^{\text{RLD}^*} a$ (*starred reloidal product*) is the reloid of the form $\prod_{i \in n} \text{Base}(a_i)$ induced by the filter base

$$\left\{ \prod_{i \in n} \left(\begin{cases} A_i & \text{if } i \in m \\ \text{Base}(a_i) & \text{if } i \in n \setminus m \end{cases} \right) \mid m \text{ is a finite subset of } n, A \in \prod (a|_m) \right\}.$$

Obvious 17.180. It is really a filter base.

Obvious 17.181. $\prod^{\text{RLD}^*} a \supseteq \prod^{\text{RLD}} a$.

Proposition 17.182. $\prod^{\text{RLD}^*} a = \prod^{\text{RLD}} a$ if n is finite.

Proof. Take $m = n$ to show that $\prod^{\text{RLD}^*} a \sqsubseteq \prod^{\text{RLD}} a$. □