

**Proof.** We need to prove:

1.  $\text{StarComp}(\text{StarComp}(m; f); g) = \text{StarComp}(m; \lambda i \in n: g_i \circ f_i)$ ;
2.  $\text{StarComp}(m; \lambda i \in \text{arity } m: \text{id}_{\text{Obj}_m i}) = m$ ;
3.  $b \not\star \text{StarComp}(a; f) \Leftrightarrow a \not\star \text{StarComp}(b; f^\dagger)$

(the rest is obvious).

Really,

1.  $L \in \text{StarComp}(a; f) \Leftrightarrow \exists y \in a \forall i \in n: y_i f_i L_i$ .

Define the relation  $R(f)$  by the formula  $xR(f)y \Leftrightarrow \forall i \in n: x_i f_i y_i$ . Obviously

$$R(\lambda i \in n: g_i \circ f_i) = R(g) \circ R(f).$$

$$L \in \text{StarComp}(a; f) \Leftrightarrow \exists y \in a: yR(f)L.$$

$$\begin{aligned} L \in \text{StarComp}(\text{StarComp}(a; f); g) &\Leftrightarrow \exists p \in \text{StarComp}(a; f): pR(g)L \Leftrightarrow \exists p, y \in a: \\ (yR(f)p \wedge pR(g)L) &\Leftrightarrow \exists y \in a: y(R(g) \circ R(f))L \Leftrightarrow \exists y \in a: yR(\lambda i \in n: g_i \circ f_i)L \Leftrightarrow \\ L \in \text{StarComp}(a; \lambda i \in n: g_i \circ f_i) &\text{ because } p \in \text{StarComp}(a; f) \Leftrightarrow \exists y \in a: yR(f)p. \end{aligned}$$

2. Obvious.

3. It follows from the proposition above. □

**Obvious 17.143.**  $\text{StarComp}(a \cup b; f) = \text{StarComp}(a; f) \cup \text{StarComp}(b; f)$  for  $n$ -ary relations  $a, b$  and an  $n$ -indexed family  $f$  of binary relations.

**Theorem 17.144.**  $\langle \prod^{(C)} f \rangle \prod a = \prod_{i \in n} \langle f_i \rangle a_i$  for every family  $f = f_{i \in n}$  of binary relations and  $a = a_{i \in n}$  where  $a_i$  is a small set (for each  $i \in n$ ).

**Proof.**  $L \in \langle \prod^{(C)} f \rangle \prod a \Leftrightarrow L \in \text{StarComp}(\prod a; f) \Leftrightarrow \exists y \in \prod a \forall i \in n: y_i f_i L_i \Leftrightarrow \exists y \in \prod a \forall i \in n: \{y_i\} \not\star \langle f_i^{-1} \rangle \{L_i\} \Leftrightarrow \forall i \in n \exists y \in a_i: \{y\} \not\star \langle f_i^{-1} \rangle \{L_i\} \Leftrightarrow \forall i \in n: a_i \not\star \langle f_i^{-1} \rangle \{L_i\} \Leftrightarrow \forall i \in n: \{L_i\} \not\star \langle f_i \rangle a_i \Leftrightarrow \forall i \in n: L_i \in \langle f_i \rangle a_i \Leftrightarrow L \in \prod_{i \in n} \langle f_i \rangle a_i$ . □

#### 17.11.4 Star composition of Rel-morphisms

Define *star composition* for an  $n$ -ary anchored relation  $a$  and an  $n$ -indexed family  $f$  of **Rel**-morphisms as an  $n$ -ary anchored relation complying with the formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Obj}_{\text{StarComp}(a; f)} &= \lambda i \in \text{arity } a: \text{Dst } f_i; \\ \text{arity } \text{StarComp}(a; f) &= \text{arity } a; \\ L \in \text{GR } \text{StarComp}(a; f) &\Leftrightarrow L \in \text{StarComp}(\text{GR } a; \text{GR } \circ f). \end{aligned}$$

(Here I denote  $\text{GR}(A; B; f) = f$  for every **Rel**-morphism  $f$ .)

**Proposition 17.145.**  $b \not\star \text{StarComp}(a; f) \Leftrightarrow \exists x \in a, y \in b \forall j \in n: x_j f_j y_j$ .

**Proof.** From the previous section. □

**Theorem 17.146.** Relations with above defined compositions form a quasi-invertible category with star-morphisms.

**Proof.** We need to prove:

1.  $\text{StarComp}(\text{StarComp}(m; f); g) = \text{StarComp}(m; \lambda i \in \text{arity } m: g_i \circ f_i)$ ;
2.  $\text{StarComp}(m; \lambda i \in \text{arity } m: \text{id}_{\text{Obj}_m i}) = m$ ;
3.  $b \not\star \text{StarComp}(a; f) \Leftrightarrow a \not\star \text{StarComp}(b; f^\dagger)$

(the rest is obvious).

It follows from the previous section. □