

2. $\text{atoms}(f \sqcup \sqcap R) = \text{atoms } f \cup \text{atoms } \sqcap R = \text{atoms } f \cup \bigcap \langle \text{atoms} \rangle R = \bigcap \langle (\text{atoms } f) \cup \langle \text{atoms} \rangle R \rangle = \bigcap \langle \text{atoms} \rangle \langle f \sqcup \rangle R = \text{atoms } \sqcap \langle f \sqcup \rangle R$. (Used the following equality.)

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\text{atoms } f) \cup \langle \text{atoms} \rangle R \rangle = \\ & \{ (\text{atoms } f) \cup A \mid A \in \langle \text{atoms} \rangle R \} = \\ & \{ (\text{atoms } f) \cup A \mid \exists C \in R: A = \text{atoms } C \} = \\ & \{ (\text{atoms } f) \cup (\text{atoms } C) \mid C \in R \} = \\ & \{ \text{atoms}(f \sqcup C) \mid C \in R \} = \\ & \{ \text{atoms } B \mid \exists C \in R: B = f \sqcup C \} = \\ & \{ \text{atoms } B \mid B \in \langle f \sqcup \rangle R \} = \\ & \langle \text{atoms} \rangle \langle f \sqcup \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 15.84. Let $(\mathfrak{A}; \mathfrak{F}_0)$ and $(\mathfrak{B}; \mathfrak{F}_1)$ be primary filtrators over boolean lattices. Then $\text{FCD}(\mathfrak{A}; \mathfrak{B})$ is a co-brouwerian lattice.

Proposition 15.85. Let $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C}$ be sets of filters over some boolean lattices and $f \in \text{FCD}(\mathfrak{A}; \mathfrak{B})$, $g \in \text{FCD}(\mathfrak{B}; \mathfrak{C})$. Let \mathfrak{B} be an atomic poset. Then

$$\text{atoms}(g \circ f) = \{ x \times^{\text{FCD}} z \mid x \in \text{atoms}^{\mathfrak{A}}, z \in \text{atoms}^{\mathfrak{C}}, \exists y \in \text{atoms}^{\mathfrak{B}}: (x \times^{\text{FCD}} y \in \text{atoms } f \wedge y \times^{\text{FCD}} z \in \text{atoms } g) \}.$$

Proof. $(x \times^{\text{FCD}} z) \sqcap (g \circ f) \neq 0^{\text{FCD}(\mathfrak{A}; \mathfrak{C})} \Leftrightarrow x [g \circ f] z \Leftrightarrow \exists y \in \text{atoms}^{\mathfrak{B}}: (x [f] y \wedge y [g] z) \Leftrightarrow \exists y \in \text{atoms}^{\mathfrak{B}}: ((x \times^{\text{FCD}} y) \sqcap f \neq 0^{\text{FCD}(\mathfrak{A}; \mathfrak{B})} \wedge (y \times^{\text{FCD}} z) \sqcap g \neq 0^{\text{FCD}(\mathfrak{B}; \mathfrak{C})})$ (were used corollary 15.69 and theorem 15.61). □

Theorem 15.86. Let f be a pointfree funcoid between sets of filters on boolean lattices.

1. $\mathcal{X} [f] \mathcal{Y} \Leftrightarrow \exists F \in \text{atoms } f: \mathcal{X} [F] \mathcal{Y}$ for every $\mathcal{X} \in \mathfrak{F}(\text{Src } f)$, $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathfrak{F}(\text{Dst } f)$;
2. $\langle f \rangle \mathcal{X} = \bigsqcup_{F \in \text{atoms } f} \langle F \rangle \mathcal{X}$ for every $\mathcal{X} \in \mathfrak{F}(\text{Src } f)$.

Proof. 1. $\exists F \in \text{atoms } f: \mathcal{X} [F] \mathcal{Y} \Leftrightarrow \exists a \in \text{atoms}^{\mathfrak{F}(\text{Src } f)}, b \in \text{atoms}^{\mathfrak{F}(\text{Dst } f)}: (a \times^{\text{FCD}} b \neq f \wedge \mathcal{X} [a \times^{\text{FCD}} b] \mathcal{Y}) \Leftrightarrow \exists a \in \text{atoms}^{\mathfrak{F}(\text{Src } f)}, b \in \text{atoms}^{\mathfrak{F}(\text{Dst } f)}: (a \times^{\text{FCD}} b \neq f \wedge a \times^{\text{FCD}} b \neq \mathcal{X} \times^{\text{FCD}} \mathcal{Y}) \Leftrightarrow \exists F \in \text{atoms } f: (F \neq f \wedge F \neq \mathcal{X} \times^{\text{FCD}} \mathcal{Y}) \Leftrightarrow f \neq \mathcal{X} \times^{\text{FCD}} \mathcal{Y} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{X} [f] \mathcal{Y}$.

2. Let $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathfrak{F}(\text{Dst } f)$. Suppose $\mathcal{Y} \neq \langle f \rangle \mathcal{X}$. Then $\mathcal{X} [f] \mathcal{Y}$; $\exists F \in \text{atoms } f: \mathcal{X} [F] \mathcal{Y}$; $\exists F \in \text{atoms } f: \mathcal{Y} \neq \langle F \rangle \mathcal{X}$; $\mathcal{Y} \neq \bigsqcup_{F \in \text{atoms } f} \langle F \rangle \mathcal{X}$. So $\langle f \rangle \mathcal{X} \sqsubseteq \bigsqcup_{F \in \text{atoms } f} \langle F \rangle \mathcal{X}$. The contrary $\langle f \rangle \mathcal{X} \supseteq \bigsqcup_{F \in \text{atoms } f} \langle F \rangle \mathcal{X}$ is obvious. □

15.11 Complete pointfree funcoids

Definition 15.87. Let \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} be posets. A pointfree funcoid $f \in \text{FCD}(\mathfrak{A}; \mathfrak{B})$ is *complete*, when for every $S \in \mathscr{P}\mathfrak{A}$ whenever both $\bigsqcup S$ and $\bigsqcup \langle \langle f \rangle \rangle S$ are defined we have

$$\langle f \rangle \bigsqcup S = \bigsqcup \langle \langle f \rangle \rangle S.$$

Proposition 15.88. Let $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}$ be sets of filters over boolean lattices. A pointfree funcoid $f \in \text{FCD}(\mathfrak{A}; \mathfrak{B})$ is complete iff $\langle f \rangle a = \bigsqcup \langle \langle f \rangle \rangle \text{atoms } a$ for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Proof. Direct implication is obvious. The reverse implication:

Let S be a set of filters.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f \rangle \bigsqcup S &= \bigsqcup \langle \langle f \rangle \rangle \text{atoms } \bigsqcup S = \bigsqcup \langle \langle f \rangle \rangle \cup \langle \text{atoms} \rangle S = \bigsqcup \cup \langle \langle \langle f \rangle \rangle \rangle \langle \text{atoms} \rangle S = \bigsqcup \langle \langle \langle f \rangle \rangle \rangle \langle \text{atoms} \rangle S \\ &= \bigsqcup \langle \langle \langle f \rangle \rangle \circ \text{atoms} \rangle S = \bigsqcup \{ \bigsqcup \langle \langle f \rangle \rangle \text{atoms } a \mid a \in S \} = \bigsqcup \{ \langle f \rangle a \mid a \in S \} = \bigsqcup \langle \langle f \rangle \rangle S. \end{aligned}$$

□