The last theorem cannot be generalized from atomic filters to arbitrary filters, as it's shown by the following example:

**Example 13.61.**  $\mathcal{A} \geq_1 \mathcal{B} \land \mathcal{B} \geq_1 \mathcal{A}$  but  $\mathcal{A}$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathcal{B}$  for some filters  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Proof.** Consider  $\mathcal{A} = \uparrow^{\mathbb{R}}[0;1]$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \prod \{\uparrow^{\mathbb{R}}[0;1+\varepsilon) \mid \varepsilon > 0\}$ . Then the function  $f = \lambda x \in \mathbb{R}: x/2$  witnesses both inequalities  $\mathcal{A} \ge_1 \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{B} \ge_1 \mathcal{A}$ . But these filters cannot be isomorphic because only one of them is principal.

**Lemma 13.62.** Let  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  be Set-morphisms. Let  $f(x; y) = (f_0 x; f_1 y)$  for a function f. Then

$$\left\langle \uparrow^{\mathsf{FCD}(\operatorname{Src} f_0 \times \operatorname{Src} f_1; \operatorname{Dst} f_0 \times \operatorname{Dst} f_1)} f \right\rangle (\mathcal{A} \times^{\mathsf{RLD}} \mathcal{B}) = \left\langle \uparrow^{\mathsf{FCD}} f_0 \right\rangle \mathcal{A} \times^{\mathsf{RLD}} \left\langle \uparrow^{\mathsf{FCD}} f_1 \right\rangle \mathcal{B}$$

**Proof.**  $\langle \uparrow^{\mathsf{FCD}(\operatorname{Src} f_0 \times \operatorname{Src} f_1; \operatorname{Dst} f_0 \times \operatorname{Dst} f_1)} f \rangle (\mathcal{A} \times^{\mathsf{RLD}} \mathcal{B}) = \langle \uparrow^{\mathsf{FCD}(\operatorname{Src} f_0 \times \operatorname{Src} f_1; \operatorname{Dst} f_0 \times \operatorname{Dst} f_1)} f \rangle \prod \{\uparrow^{\operatorname{Src} f_0 \times \operatorname{Src} f_1}(A \times B) \mid A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}\} = \prod \{\uparrow^{\operatorname{Dst} f_0 \times \operatorname{Dst} f_1} \langle f \rangle (A \times B) \mid A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}\} = \prod \{\uparrow^{\operatorname{Dst} f_0 \times \operatorname{Dst} f_1} \langle f \rangle (A \times B) \mid A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}\} = \prod \{\uparrow^{\operatorname{Dst} f_0 \times \operatorname{Dst} f_1} \langle f_0 \rangle A \times^{\mathsf{RLD}} \uparrow^{\operatorname{Dst} f_1} \langle f_1 \rangle B \mid A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}\} = \prod \{\uparrow^{\operatorname{Dst} f_0} \langle f_0 \rangle A \times^{\mathsf{RLD}} \uparrow^{\operatorname{Dst} f_1} \langle f_1 \rangle B \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\} \in \mathcal{A}\} = \langle \uparrow^{\mathsf{FCD}} f_0 \rangle \mathcal{A} \times^{\mathsf{RLD}} \langle \uparrow^{\mathsf{FCD}} f_1 \rangle \mathcal{B}.$ 

**Theorem 13.63.** Let f be a monovalued reloid. Then GR f is isomorphic to the filter dom f.

**Proof.** Let f be a monovalued reloid. There exists a function  $F \in \text{GR } f$ . Consider the bijective function  $p = \lambda x \in \text{dom } F$ : (x; Fx).

 $\langle p \rangle \text{dom } F = F \text{ and consequently } \langle p \rangle \text{dom } f = \prod \left\{ \uparrow^{\mathsf{RLD}(\operatorname{Src} f; \operatorname{Dst} f)} \langle p \rangle \text{dom } K \mid K \in \operatorname{GR} f \right\} = \prod \left\{ \uparrow^{\mathsf{RLD}(\operatorname{Src} f; \operatorname{Dst} f)} \langle p \rangle \text{dom}(K \cap F) \mid K \in \operatorname{GR} f \right\} = \prod \left\{ \uparrow^{\mathsf{RLD}(\operatorname{Src} f; \operatorname{Dst} f)} (K \cap F) \mid K \in \operatorname{GR} f \right\} = \prod \left\{ \uparrow^{\mathsf{RLD}(\operatorname{Src} f; \operatorname{Dst} f)} K \mid K \in \operatorname{GR} f \right\} = \operatorname{GR} f.$  Thus p witnesses that  $\operatorname{GR} f$  is isomorphic to the filter dom f.  $\Box$ 

Corollary 13.64. The graph of a monovalued reloid with atomic domain is atomic.

**Corollary 13.65.** GR  $id_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathsf{RLD}}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{A}$  for every filter  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Theorem 13.66. There are atomic filters incomparable by Rudin-Keisler order.

**Proof.** See [13].

**Theorem 13.67.**  $\geq_1$  and  $\geq_2$  are different relations.

**Proof.** Consider a is an arbitrary non-empty filter. Then  $a \ge 10^{\mathfrak{F}(\text{Base}(a))}$  but not  $a \ge 20^{\mathfrak{F}(\text{Base}(a))}$ .  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 13.68.** If  $a \ge_2 b$  where a is an ultrafilter then b is also an ultrafilter.

**Proof.**  $b = \langle \uparrow^{\mathsf{FCD}} f \rangle a$  for some  $f: \operatorname{Base}(a) \to \operatorname{Base}(b)$ . So b is an ultrafilter since f is monovalued.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 13.69.** If  $a \ge_1 b$  where a is an ultrafilter then b is also an ultrafilter or  $0^{\mathfrak{F}(\text{Base}(a))}$ .

**Proof.**  $b \sqsubseteq \langle \uparrow^{\mathsf{FCD}} f \rangle a$  for some  $f: \text{Base}(a) \to \text{Base}(b)$ . Therefore  $b' = \langle \uparrow^{\mathsf{FCD}} f \rangle a$  is an ultrafilter. From this our statement follows.

Proposition 13.70. Principal filters, generated by sets of the same cardinality, are isomorphic.

**Proof.** Let A and B be sets of the same cardinality. Then there are a bijection f from A to B. We have  $\langle f \rangle A = B$  and thus A and B are isomorphic.

**Proposition 13.71.** If a filter is isomorphic to a principal filter, then it is also a principal filter induced by a set with the same cardinality.

**Proof.** Let A be a principal filter and B is a filter isomorphic to A. Then there are sets  $X \in A$  and  $Y \in B$  such that there are a bijection  $f: X \to Y$  such that  $\langle f \rangle A = B$ .