

3.  $\text{Mor}_{\text{MonRld}_{\sqsubseteq, \supseteq}}(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{B}) \neq \emptyset$ .
4.  $\text{Mor}_{\text{MonRld}_{\sqsubseteq, =}}(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{B}) \neq \emptyset$ .
5.  $\text{Mor}_{\text{CoMonRld}_{=, \supseteq}}(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{B}) \neq \emptyset$ .
6.  $\text{Mor}_{\text{CoMonRld}_{\sqsubseteq, \supseteq}}(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{B}) \neq \emptyset$ .
7.  $\text{Mor}_{\text{CoMonRld}_{\sqsubseteq, =}}(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{B}) \neq \emptyset$ .

**Proof.**

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). There exists a Set-morphism  $f: \text{Base}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Base}(\mathcal{B})$  such that  $\mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \langle \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} f \rangle \mathcal{A}$ . We have

$$\text{dom}(\uparrow^{\text{RLD}} f)|_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A} \cap 1^{\mathfrak{F}(\text{Base}(\mathcal{A}))} = \mathcal{A}$$

and

$$\text{im}(\uparrow^{\text{RLD}} f)|_{\mathcal{A}} = \text{im}(\text{FCD})(\uparrow^{\text{RLD}} f)|_{\mathcal{A}} = \text{im}(\uparrow^{\text{FCD}} f)|_{\mathcal{A}} = \langle \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} f \rangle \mathcal{A} \supseteq \mathcal{B}.$$

Thus  $(\uparrow^{\text{RLD}} f)|_{\mathcal{A}}$  is a monovalued reloid such that  $\text{dom}(\uparrow^{\text{RLD}} f)|_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A}$  and  $\text{im}(\uparrow^{\text{RLD}} f)|_{\mathcal{A}} \supseteq \mathcal{B}$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3), (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (3), (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (6), (7)  $\Rightarrow$  (6). Obvious.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). We have  $\mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \langle (\text{FCD})f \rangle \mathcal{A}$  for a monovalued reloid  $f \in \text{RLD}(\text{Base}(\mathcal{A}); \text{Base}(\mathcal{B}))$ . Then there exists a Set-morphism  $F: \text{Base}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Base}(\mathcal{B})$  such that  $\mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \langle \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} F \rangle \mathcal{A}$  that is  $\mathcal{A} \geq_1 \mathcal{B}$ .

(6)  $\Rightarrow$  (7).  $\text{dom} f|_{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}$  and  $\text{im} f|_{\mathcal{B}} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$ .

(2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (5), (3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (6), (4)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (7). By duality.  $\square$

**Theorem 13.42.** For every filters  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  the following are equivalent:

1.  $\mathcal{A} \geq_2 \mathcal{B}$ .
2.  $\text{Mor}_{\text{MonRld}_{=, =}}(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{B}) \neq \emptyset$ .
3.  $\text{Mor}_{\text{CoMonRld}_{=, =}}(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{B}) \neq \emptyset$ .

**Proof.**

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $\mathcal{A} \geq_2 \mathcal{B}$  that is  $\mathcal{B} = \langle \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} f \rangle \mathcal{A}$  for some Set-morphism  $f: \text{Base}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Base}(\mathcal{B})$ . Then  $\text{dom}(\uparrow^{\text{RLD}} f)|_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A}$  and  $\text{im}(\uparrow^{\text{RLD}} f)|_{\mathcal{A}} = \text{im}(\text{FCD})(\uparrow^{\text{RLD}} f)|_{\mathcal{A}} = \text{im}(\uparrow^{\text{FCD}} f)|_{\mathcal{A}} = \langle \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} f \rangle \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$ . So  $(\uparrow^{\text{RLD}} f)|_{\mathcal{A}}$  is a sought for reloid.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). By corollary 13.78 below, there exists a Set-morphism  $F: \text{Base}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Base}(\mathcal{B})$  such that  $f = (\uparrow^{\text{RLD}} F)|_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Thus  $\langle \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} F \rangle \mathcal{A} = \text{im}(\uparrow^{\text{FCD}} F)|_{\mathcal{A}} = \text{im}(\text{FCD})(\uparrow^{\text{RLD}} F)|_{\mathcal{A}} = \text{im}(\text{FCD})f = \text{im} f = \mathcal{B}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{A} \geq_2 \mathcal{B}$  is testified by the morphism  $F$ .

(2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3). By duality.  $\square$

**Theorem 13.43.** The following are categories (with reloid composition):

1.  $\text{MonRld}_{\sqsubseteq, \supseteq}$ ;
2.  $\text{MonRld}_{\sqsubseteq, =}$ ;
3.  $\text{MonRld}_{=, =}$ .
4.  $\text{CoMonRld}_{\sqsubseteq, \supseteq}$ ;
5.  $\text{CoMonRld}_{\sqsubseteq, =}$ ;
6.  $\text{CoMonRld}_{=, =}$ .

**Proof.** We will prove only the first three. The rest follow from duality. [TODO: Check duality.] We need to prove only that composition of morphisms is a morphism, because associativity and existence of identity morphism are evident. We have:

1. Let  $f \in \text{Mor}_{\text{MonRld}_{\sqsubseteq, \supseteq}}(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{B})$ ,  $g \in \text{Mor}_{\text{MonRld}_{\sqsubseteq, \supseteq}}(\mathcal{B}; \mathcal{C})$ . Then  $\text{dom} f \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\text{im} f \supseteq \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\text{dom} g \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\text{im} g \supseteq \mathcal{C}$ . So  $\text{dom}(g \circ f) \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\text{im}(g \circ f) \supseteq \mathcal{C}$  that is  $g \circ f \in \text{Mor}_{\text{MonRld}_{\sqsubseteq, \supseteq}}(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{C})$ .