

If  $\text{card}(X \setminus A) = \text{card}(Y \setminus B)$  our statement follows from the last lemma.

Now assume without loss of generality  $\text{card}(X \setminus A) < \text{card}(Y \setminus B)$ .

$\text{card } B = \text{card } Y$  because  $\text{card}(Y \setminus B) < \text{card } Y$ .

It is easy to show that there exists  $B' \supset B$  such that  $\text{card}(X \setminus A) = \text{card}(Y \setminus B')$  and  $\text{card } B' = \text{card } B$ .

We will find a bijection  $g$  from  $B$  to  $B'$  which witnesses direct isomorphism of  $v$  to  $v$  itself. Then the composition  $g \circ f$  witnesses a direct isomorphism of  $u \div A$  and  $v \div B'$  and by the lemma  $u$  and  $v$  are directly isomorphic.

Let  $D = B' \setminus B$ . We have  $D \notin v$ .

There exists a set  $E \subseteq B$  such that  $\text{card } E \geq \text{card } D$  and  $E \notin v$ .

We have  $\text{card } E = \text{card}(D \cup E)$  and thus there exists a bijection  $h: E \rightarrow D \cup E$ .

Let

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in B \setminus E; \\ h(x) & \text{if } x \in E. \end{cases}$$

$g|_{B \setminus E}$  and  $g|_E$  are bijections.

$\text{im}(g|_{B \setminus E}) = B \setminus E$ ;  $\text{im}(g|_E) = \text{im } h = D \cup E$ ;

$$(D \cup E) \cap (B \setminus E) = (D \cap (B \setminus E)) \cup (E \cap (B \setminus E)) = \emptyset \cup \emptyset = \emptyset.$$

Thus  $g$  is a bijection from  $B$  to  $(B \setminus E) \cup (D \cup E) = B \cup D = B'$ .

To finish the proof it's enough to show that  $\langle g \rangle v = v$ . Indeed it follows from  $B \setminus E \in v$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 13.36.**

1. For every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  we have  $\mathcal{A} \geq_2 \mathcal{B}$  iff  $\mathcal{A} \div A \geq_2 \mathcal{B} \div B$ .
2. For every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  we have  $\mathcal{A} \geq_1 \mathcal{B}$  iff  $\mathcal{A} \div A \geq_1 \mathcal{B} \div B$ .

**Proof.**

1.  $\mathcal{A} \geq_2 \mathcal{B}$  iff there exist a bijective Set-morphism  $f$  such that  $\mathcal{B} = \langle \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} f \rangle \mathcal{A}$ . The equality is obviously preserved replacing  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathcal{A} \div A$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $\mathcal{B} \div B$ .
2.  $\mathcal{A} \geq_1 \mathcal{B}$  iff there exist a bijective Set-morphism  $f$  such that  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \langle \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} f \rangle \mathcal{A}$ . The equality is obviously preserved replacing  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathcal{A} \div A$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $\mathcal{B} \div B$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 13.37.** For ultrafilters  $\geq_2$  is the same as Rudin-Keisler ordering (as defined in [37]).

**Proof.**  $x \geq_2 y$  iff there exist sets  $A \in x$  and  $B \in y$  a bijective Set-morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $y \div B = \{C \in \mathcal{P}Y \mid \langle f^{-1} \rangle C \in x \div A\}$  that is when  $C \in y \div B \Leftrightarrow \langle f^{-1} \rangle C \in x \div A$  what is equivalent to  $C \in y \Leftrightarrow \langle f^{-1} \rangle C \in x$  what is the definition of Rudin-Keisler ordering.  $\square$

**Remark 13.38.** The relation of being isomorphic for ultrafilters is traditionally called *Rudin-Keisler equivalence*.

**Obvious 13.39.**  $(\geq_1) \supseteq (\geq_2)$ .

**Definition 13.40.** Let  $Q$  and  $R$  be binary relations on the set of filters. I will denote  $\text{MonRld}_{Q,R}$  the directed multigraph with objects being filters and morphisms such monovalued reloids  $f$  that  $(\text{dom } f) Q \mathcal{A}$  and  $(\text{im } f) R \mathcal{B}$ .

I will also denote  $\text{CoMonRld}_{Q,R}$  the directed multigraph with objects being filters and morphisms such injective reloids  $f$  that  $(\text{im } f) Q \mathcal{A}$  and  $(\text{dom } f) R \mathcal{B}$ . These are essentially the duals.

Some of these directed multigraphs are categories with reloid composition (see below). By abuse of notation I will denote these categories the same as these directed multigraphs.

**Theorem 13.41.** For every filters  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  the following are equivalent:

1.  $\mathcal{A} \geq_1 \mathcal{B}$ .
2.  $\text{Mor}_{\text{MonRld}_{=, \supseteq}}(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{B}) \neq \emptyset$ .