

Suppose for the contrary that A is infinite. Then A contains more than one non-zero points y, z ($y \neq z$). Without loss of generality $y < z$. So we have that $(y; z)$ is not of the form $(y; y)$ nor $(0; y)$ nor $(y; 0)$. Therefore $A \times A$ isn't a subset of Γ . \square

12.2 Totally bounded endoreloids

The below is a straightforward generalization of the customary definition of totally bounded sets on uniform spaces (it's proved below that for uniform spaces the below definitions are equivalent).

Definition 12.9. An endoreloid f is α -totally bounded ($\text{totBound}_\alpha(f)$) if every $E \in \text{xyGR } f$ is α -thick.

Definition 12.10. An endoreloid f is β -totally bounded ($\text{totBound}_\beta(f)$) if every $E \in \text{xyGR } f$ is β -thick.

Remark 12.11. We could rewrite the above definitions in a more algebraic way like $\text{xyGR } f \subseteq \text{thick}_\alpha$ (with thick_α would be defined as a set rather than as a predicate), but we don't really need this simplification.

Proposition 12.12. If an endoreloid is α -totally bounded then it is β -totally bounded.

Proof. Because $\text{thick}_\alpha(E) \Rightarrow \text{thick}_\beta(E)$. \square

Proposition 12.13. If an endoreloid f is reflexive and $\text{Ob } f$ is finite then f is both α -totally bounded and β -totally bounded.

Proof. It enough to prove that f is α -totally bounded. Really, every $E \in \text{xyGR } f$ is reflexive. Thus $\{x\} \times \{x\} \subseteq E$ for $x \in \text{Ob } f$ and thus $\{\{x\} \mid x \in \text{Ob } f\}$ is a sought for finite cover of $\text{Ob } f$. \square

Obvious 12.14.

- A principal endoreloid induced by a Rel-morphism E is α -totally bounded iff E is α -thick.
- A principal endoreloid induced by a Rel-morphism E is β -totally bounded iff E is β -thick.

Example 12.15. There is a β -totally bounded endoreloid which is not α -totally bounded.

Proof. It follows from the example above and properties of principal endoreloids. \square

12.3 Special case of uniform spaces

Definition 12.16. *Uniform space* is essentially the same as symmetric, reflexive and transitive endoreloid.

Exercise 12.1. Prove that it is essentially the same as the standard definition of a uniform space (see Wikipedia or PlanetMath).

Theorem 12.17. Let f be such a endoreloid that $f \circ f^{-1} \subseteq f$. Then f is α -totally bounded iff it is β -totally bounded.

Proof.

\Rightarrow . Proved above.

\Leftarrow . For every $\varepsilon \in \text{GR } f$ we have that $\langle \varepsilon \rangle \{c_0\}, \dots, \langle \varepsilon \rangle \{c_n\}$ covers the space. $\langle \varepsilon \rangle \{c_i\} \times \langle \varepsilon \rangle \{c_i\} \subseteq \varepsilon \circ \varepsilon^{-1}$ because for $x \in \langle \varepsilon \rangle \{c_i\}$ (the same as $c_i \in \langle \varepsilon^{-1} \rangle \{x\}$) we have $\langle \langle \varepsilon \rangle \{c_i\} \times \langle \varepsilon \rangle \{c_i\} \rangle \{x\} = \langle \varepsilon \rangle \{c_i\} \subseteq \langle \varepsilon \rangle \langle \varepsilon^{-1} \rangle \{x\} = \langle \varepsilon \circ \varepsilon^{-1} \rangle \{x\}$. For every $\varepsilon' \in \text{GR } f$ exists $\varepsilon \in \text{GR } f$ such that $\varepsilon \circ \varepsilon^{-1} \subseteq \varepsilon'$ because $f \circ f^{-1} \subseteq f$. Thus for every ε' we have $\langle \varepsilon \rangle \{c_i\} \times \langle \varepsilon \rangle \{c_i\} \subseteq \varepsilon'$ and so

$$\langle \varepsilon \rangle \{c_0\}, \dots, \langle \varepsilon \rangle \{c_n\}.$$