

Remark 2.81. This definition is valid even for posets without least element.

I will denote $(\text{atoms}^{\mathfrak{A}} a)$ or just $(\text{atoms } a)$ the set of atoms contained in an element a of a poset \mathfrak{A} . I will denote $\text{atoms}^{\mathfrak{A}}$ the set of all atoms of a poset \mathfrak{A} .

Definition 2.82. A poset \mathfrak{A} is called *atomic* iff $\text{atoms } a \neq \emptyset$ for every non-least element a of the poset \mathfrak{A} .

Definition 2.83. *Atomistic poset* is such a poset that $a = \bigsqcup \text{atoms } a$ for every non-least element a of this poset.

Obvious 2.84. Every atomistic poset is atomic.

Proposition 2.85. Let \mathfrak{A} be a poset. If a is an atom of \mathfrak{A} and $B \in \mathfrak{A}$ then $a \sqsubseteq B \Leftrightarrow a \not\leq B$.

Proof.

\Rightarrow . $a \sqsubseteq B \Rightarrow a \sqsubseteq a \wedge a \sqsubseteq B$, thus $a \not\leq B$ because a is not least.

\Leftarrow . $a \not\leq B$ implies existence of non-least element x such that $x \sqsubseteq B$ and $x \sqsubseteq a$. Because a is an atom, we have $x = a$. So $a \sqsubseteq B$. \square

Theorem 2.86. $\text{atoms} \prod S = \bigcap (\text{atoms})S$ whenever $\prod S$ is defined for every $S \in \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{A}$ where \mathfrak{A} is a poset.

Proof. For any atom c

$$\begin{aligned} c \in \text{atoms} \prod S &\Leftrightarrow \\ c \sqsubseteq \prod S &\Leftrightarrow \\ \forall a \in S: c \sqsubseteq a &\Leftrightarrow \\ \forall a \in S: c \in \text{atoms } a &\Leftrightarrow \\ c \in \bigcap (\text{atoms})S. & \end{aligned}$$

\square

Corollary 2.87. $\text{atoms}(a \sqcap b) = \text{atoms } a \cap \text{atoms } b$ for an arbitrary meet-semilattice.

Theorem 2.88. A complete boolean lattice is atomic iff it is atomistic.

Proof.

\Leftarrow . Obvious.

\Rightarrow . Let \mathfrak{A} be an atomic boolean lattice. Let $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. Suppose $b = \bigsqcup \text{atoms } a \sqsubset a$. If $x \in \text{atoms}(a \setminus b)$ then $x \sqsubseteq a \setminus b$ and so $x \sqsubseteq a$ and hence $x \sqsubseteq b$. But we have $x = x \sqcap b \sqsubseteq (a \setminus b) \sqcap b = 0$ what contradicts to our supposition. \square

2.1.11 Kuratowski's lemma

Theorem 2.89. (Kuratowski lemma) Any chain in a poset is contained in a maximal chain (if we order chains by inclusion).

I will skip the proof of Kuratowski lemma as this proof can be found in any set theory or order theory reference.

2.1.12 Homomorphisms of posets and lattices

Definition 2.90. A *monotone* function (also called *order homomorphism*) from a poset \mathfrak{A} to a poset \mathfrak{B} is such a function f that $x \sqsubseteq y \Rightarrow fx \sqsubseteq fy$.