

PROOF. We will prove only the first, because the second is dual.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Cor } a &= \\
&\prod \mathfrak{z} \\
&\prod \uparrow \text{up } a = \\
\lambda i \in \text{dom } a : \prod \left\{ \frac{x_i}{x \in \text{up } a} \right\} &= (\text{up } x \neq \emptyset \text{ taken into account}) \\
\lambda i \in \text{dom } a : \prod \left\{ \frac{x}{x \in \text{up } a_i} \right\} &= \\
\lambda i \in \text{dom } a : \prod \uparrow \text{up } a_i &= \\
\lambda i \in \text{dom } a : \text{Cor } a_i. &
\end{aligned}$$

□

PROPOSITION 1231. If each $(\mathfrak{A}_i; \mathfrak{z}_i)$ is a filtrator with (co-)separable core and each \mathfrak{A}_i has a least (greatest) element, then $(\prod \mathfrak{A}; \prod \mathfrak{z})$ is a filtrator with (co-)separable core.

PROOF. We will prove only for separable core, as co-separable core is dual.

$$\begin{aligned}
x \asymp \prod \mathfrak{A} y &\Leftrightarrow \\
(\text{used the fact that } \mathfrak{A}_i &\text{ has a least element}) \\
\forall i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A} : x_i \asymp^{\mathfrak{A}_i} &y_i \Rightarrow \\
\forall i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A} \exists X \in \text{up } x_i : X &\asymp^{\mathfrak{A}_i} y_i \Leftrightarrow \\
\exists X \in \text{up } x \forall i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A} : X_i &\asymp^{\mathfrak{A}_i} y_i \Leftrightarrow \\
\exists X \in \text{up } x : X \asymp \prod \mathfrak{A} &y
\end{aligned}$$

for every $x, y \in \prod \mathfrak{A}$.

□

OBVIOUS 1232.

- 1°. If each $(\mathfrak{A}_i; \mathfrak{z}_i)$ is a down-aligned filtrator, then $(\prod \mathfrak{A}; \prod \mathfrak{z})$ is a down-aligned filtrator.
- 2°. If each $(\mathfrak{A}_i; \mathfrak{z}_i)$ is an up-aligned filtrator, then $(\prod \mathfrak{A}; \prod \mathfrak{z})$ is an up-aligned filtrator.

PROPOSITION 1233. If every b_i is subtractive from a_i where a and b are n -indexed families of distributive lattices with least elements (where n is an index set), then $a \setminus b = \lambda i \in n : a_i \setminus b_i$.

PROOF. We need to prove $(\lambda i \in n : a_i \setminus b_i) \sqcap b = \perp$ and $a \sqcup b = b \sqcup (\lambda i \in n : a_i \setminus b_i)$. Really

$$\begin{aligned}
(\lambda i \in n : a_i \setminus b_i) \sqcap b &= \lambda i \in n : (a_i \setminus b_i) \sqcap b_i = \perp; \\
b \sqcup (\lambda i \in n : a_i \setminus b_i) &= \lambda i \in n : b_i \sqcup (a_i \setminus b_i) = \lambda i \in n : b_i \sqcup a_i = a \sqcup b.
\end{aligned}$$

□

PROPOSITION 1234. If every \mathfrak{A}_i is a distributive lattice, then $a \setminus^* b = \lambda i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A} : a_i \setminus^* b_i$ for every $a, b \in \prod \mathfrak{A}$ whenever every $a_i \setminus^* b_i$ is defined.

PROOF. If some \mathfrak{A}_i is empty, our statement is obvious. Let's assume $\mathfrak{A}_i \neq \emptyset$. **FixMe:** Should consider this special case?

$$\text{We need to prove that } \lambda i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A} : a_i \setminus^* b_i = \prod \left\{ \frac{z \in \prod \mathfrak{A}}{a \sqcup b \sqcup z} \right\}.$$