

PROPOSITION 1214. If every \mathfrak{A}_i is a poset then for every $S \in \mathcal{S} \prod \mathfrak{A}$

- 1°. $\sqcup S = \lambda i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A} : \sqcup \left\{ \frac{x_i}{x \in S} \right\}$ whenever every $\sqcup \left\{ \frac{x_i}{x \in S} \right\}$ exists;
- 2°. $\prod S = \lambda i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A} : \prod \left\{ \frac{x_i}{x \in S} \right\}$ whenever every $\prod \left\{ \frac{x_i}{x \in S} \right\}$ exists.

PROOF. It's enough to prove the first formula.

$(\lambda i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A} : \sqcup \left\{ \frac{x_i}{x \in S} \right\})_i = \sqcup \left\{ \frac{x_i}{x \in S} \right\} \supseteq x_i$ for every $x \in S$ and $i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A}$.

Let $y \supseteq x$ for every $x \in S$. Then $y_i \supseteq x_i$ for every $i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A}$ and thus $y_i \supseteq \sqcup \left\{ \frac{x_i}{x \in S} \right\} = (\lambda i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A} : \sqcup \left\{ \frac{x_i}{x \in S} \right\})_i$ that is $y \supseteq \lambda i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A} : \sqcup \left\{ \frac{x_i}{x \in S} \right\}$.

Thus $\sqcup S = \lambda i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A} : \sqcup \left\{ \frac{x_i}{x \in S} \right\}$ by the definition of join. \square

COROLLARY 1215. If \mathfrak{A}_i are posets then for every $S \in \mathcal{S} \prod \mathfrak{A}$

- 1°. $\sqcup S = \lambda i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A} : \sqcup \left\{ \frac{x_i}{x \in S} \right\}$ whenever $\sqcup S$ exists;
- 2°. $\prod S = \lambda i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A} : \prod \left\{ \frac{x_i}{x \in S} \right\}$ whenever $\prod S$ exists.

PROOF. It is enough to prove that (for every i) $\sqcup \left\{ \frac{x_i}{x \in S} \right\}$ exists whenever $\sqcup S$ exists.

Fix $i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A}$.

Take $y_i = (\sqcup S)_i$ and let prove that y_i is the least upper bound of $\sqcup \left\{ \frac{x_i}{x \in S} \right\}$.

y_i is an upper bound of $\sqcup \left\{ \frac{x_i}{x \in S} \right\}$ because $\sqcup S \supseteq x$ and thus $(\sqcup S)_i \supseteq x_i$ for every $x \in S$.

Let $x \in S$ and for some $t \in \mathfrak{A}_i$

$$T(t) = \lambda j \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A} : \begin{cases} t & \text{if } i = j \\ x_i & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Let $t \supseteq x_i$. Then $T(t) \supseteq x$ for every $x \in S$. So $T(t) \supseteq \sqcup S$ and consequently $t = T(t)_i \supseteq y_i$.

So y_i is the least upper bound of $\left\{ \frac{x_i}{x \in S} \right\}$. \square

COROLLARY 1216. If \mathfrak{A}_i are complete lattices then \mathfrak{A} is a complete lattice.

OBVIOUS 1217. If \mathfrak{A}_i are complete (co-)brouwerian lattices then \mathfrak{A} is a (co-)brouwerian lattice.

PROPOSITION 1218. If each \mathfrak{A}_i is a separable poset with least element (for some index set n) then $\prod \mathfrak{A}$ is a separable poset.

PROOF. Let $a \neq b$. Then $\exists i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A} : a_i \neq b_i$. So $\exists x \in \mathfrak{A}_i : (x \neq a_i \wedge x \succ b_i)$ (or vice versa).

Take $y = (((\text{dom } \mathfrak{A}) \setminus \{i\}) \times \{0\}) \cup \{(i, x)\}$. Then $y \neq a$ and $y \succ b$. \square

OBVIOUS 1219. If every \mathfrak{A}_i is a poset with least element \perp_i , then the set of atoms of $\prod \mathfrak{A}$ is

$$\left\{ \frac{(\{k\} \times \text{atoms}^{\mathfrak{A}_k}) \cup (\lambda i \in (\text{dom } \mathfrak{A}) \setminus \{k\} : \perp_i)}{k \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A}} \right\}.$$

PROPOSITION 1220. If every \mathfrak{A}_i is an atomistic poset with least element \perp_i , then $\prod \mathfrak{A}$ is an atomistic poset.

PROOF. $x_i = \sqcup \text{atoms } x_i$ for every $x_i \in \mathfrak{A}_i$. Thus

$$x = \lambda i \in \text{dom } x : x_i = \lambda i \in \text{dom } x : \sqcup \text{atoms } x_i = \bigsqcup_{i \in \text{dom } x} \lambda j \in \text{dom } x : \begin{cases} x_i & \text{if } j = i \\ \perp_i & \text{if } j \neq i. \end{cases}$$

Take join two times. \square

COROLLARY 1221. If \mathfrak{A}_i are atomistic posets with least elements, then $\prod \mathfrak{A}$ is atomically separable.