

Relationships between funcoids and reloids

8.1. Funcoid induced by a reloid

Every reloid f induces a funcoid $(\text{FCD})f \in \text{FCD}(\text{Src } f; \text{Dst } f)$ by the following formulas (for every $\mathcal{X} \in \mathfrak{F}(\text{Src } f)$, $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathfrak{F}(\text{Dst } f)$):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X} [(\text{FCD})f] \mathcal{Y} &\Leftrightarrow \forall F \in \text{xyGR } f : \mathcal{X} [\uparrow^{\text{FCD}} F] \mathcal{Y}; \\ \langle (\text{FCD})f \rangle \mathcal{X} &= \prod \left\{ \frac{\langle \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} F \rangle \mathcal{X}}{F \in \text{xyGR } f} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We should prove that $(\text{FCD})f$ is really a funcoid.

PROOF. We need to prove that

$$\mathcal{X} [(\text{FCD})f] \mathcal{Y} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{Y} \sqcap \langle (\text{FCD})f \rangle \mathcal{X} \neq \perp^{\mathfrak{F}(\text{Dst } f)} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{X} \sqcap \langle (\text{FCD})f^{-1} \rangle \mathcal{Y} \neq \perp^{\mathfrak{F}(\text{Src } f)}.$$

The above formula is equivalent to:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall F \in \text{xyGR } f : \mathcal{X} [\uparrow^{\text{FCD}} F] \mathcal{Y} &\Leftrightarrow \\ \mathcal{Y} \sqcap \prod \left\{ \frac{\langle \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} F \rangle \mathcal{X}}{F \in \text{xyGR } f} \right\} &\neq \perp^{\mathfrak{F}(\text{Dst } f)} \Leftrightarrow \\ \mathcal{X} \sqcap \prod \left\{ \frac{\langle \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} F^{-1} \rangle \mathcal{Y}}{F \in \text{xyGR } f} \right\} &\neq \perp^{\mathfrak{F}(\text{Src } f)}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{We have } \mathcal{Y} \sqcap \prod \left\{ \frac{\langle \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} F \rangle \mathcal{X}}{F \in \text{xyGR } f} \right\} = \prod \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{Y} \sqcap \langle \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} F \rangle \mathcal{X}}{F \in \text{xyGR } f} \right\}.$$

$$\text{Let's denote } W = \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{Y} \sqcap \langle \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} F \rangle \mathcal{X}}{F \in \text{xyGR } f} \right\}.$$

$$\forall F \in \text{xyGR } f : \mathcal{X} [\uparrow^{\text{FCD}} F] \mathcal{Y} \Leftrightarrow \forall F \in \text{xyGR } f : \mathcal{Y} \sqcap \langle \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} F \rangle \mathcal{X} \neq \perp^{\mathfrak{F}(\text{Dst } f)} \Leftrightarrow \perp^{\mathfrak{F}(\text{Dst } f)} \notin W.$$

We need to prove only that $\perp^{\mathfrak{F}(\text{Dst } f)} \notin W \Leftrightarrow \prod W \neq \perp^{\mathfrak{F}(\text{Dst } f)}$. (The rest follows from symmetry.)

Let's prove that W is a generalized filter base. For this it's enough to prove that $V = \left\{ \frac{\langle \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} F \rangle \mathcal{X}}{F \in \text{xyGR } f} \right\}$ is a generalized filter base. Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in V$ that is $\mathcal{A} = \langle \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} P \rangle \mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{B} = \langle \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} Q \rangle \mathcal{X}$ where $P, Q \in \text{xyGR } f$. Then for $\mathcal{C} = \langle \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} (P \sqcap Q) \rangle \mathcal{X}$ is true both $\mathcal{C} \in V$ and $\mathcal{C} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$. So V is a generalized filter base and thus W is a generalized filter base. \square

PROPOSITION 799. $(\text{FCD}) \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} f = \uparrow^{\text{FCD}} f$ for every **Rel**-morphism f .

PROOF. $\mathcal{X} [(\text{FCD}) \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} f] \mathcal{Y} \Leftrightarrow \forall F \in \text{xyGR } \uparrow^{\text{RLD}} f : \mathcal{X} [\uparrow^{\text{FCD}} F] \mathcal{Y} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{X} [\uparrow^{\text{FCD}} f] \mathcal{Y}$ (for every $\mathcal{X} \in \mathfrak{F}(\text{Src } f)$, $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathfrak{F}(\text{Dst } f)$). \square

THEOREM 800. $\mathcal{X} [(\text{FCD})f] \mathcal{Y} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{X} \times^{\text{RLD}} \mathcal{Y} \not\prec f$ for every reloid f and $\mathcal{X} \in \mathfrak{F}(\text{Src } f)$, $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathfrak{F}(\text{Dst } f)$.