

### 4.3. Filters on a poset

**4.3.1. Filters on posets.** Let  $\mathfrak{Z}$  be a poset.

DEFINITION 344. *Filter base* is a nonempty subset  $F$  of  $\mathfrak{Z}$  such that

$$\forall X, Y \in F \exists Z \in F : (Z \sqsubseteq X \wedge Z \sqsubseteq Y).$$

OBVIOUS 345. A nonempty chain is a filter base.

DEFINITION 346. *Filter* is a subset of  $\mathfrak{Z}$  which is both a filter base and an upper set.

I will denote the set of filters (for a given or implied poset  $\mathfrak{Z}$ ) as  $\mathfrak{F}$  and call  $\mathfrak{F}$  the set of filters over the poset  $\mathfrak{Z}$ .

PROPOSITION 347. If  $\top$  is the maximal element of  $\mathfrak{Z}$  then  $\top \in F$  for every filter  $F$ .

PROOF. If  $\top \notin F$  then  $\forall K \in \mathfrak{Z} : K \notin F$  and so  $F$  is empty what is impossible.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 348. Let  $S$  be a filter base on a poset. If  $A_0, \dots, A_n \in S$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), then

$$\exists C \in S : (C \sqsubseteq A_0 \wedge \dots \wedge C \sqsubseteq A_n).$$

PROOF. It can be easily proved by induction.  $\square$

Dual of filters is called *ideals*. We do not use ideals in this work however. **Fixme:** *Ideals will be used in a newer version of this text.*

### 4.3.2. Filters on meet-semilattices.

THEOREM 349. If  $\mathfrak{Z}$  is a meet-semilattice and  $F$  is a nonempty subset of  $\mathfrak{Z}$  then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1°.  $F$  is a filter.
- 2°.  $\forall X, Y \in F : X \sqcap Y \in F$  and  $F$  is an upper set.
- 3°.  $\forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{Z} : (X, Y \in F \Leftrightarrow X \sqcap Y \in F)$ .

PROOF.  $\square$

**1°  $\Rightarrow$  2°.** Let  $F$  be a filter. Then  $F$  is an upper set. If  $X, Y \in F$  then  $Z \sqsubseteq X \wedge Z \sqsubseteq Y$  for some  $Z \in F$ . Because  $F$  is an upper set and  $Z \sqsubseteq X \sqcap Y$  then  $X \sqcap Y \in F$ .

**2°  $\Rightarrow$  1°.** Let  $\forall X, Y \in F : X \sqcap Y \in F$  and  $F$  be an upper set. We need to prove that  $F$  is a filter base. But it is obvious taking  $Z = X \sqcap Y$  (we have also taken into account that  $F \neq \emptyset$ ).

**2°  $\Rightarrow$  3°.** Let  $\forall X, Y \in F : X \sqcap Y \in F$  and  $F$  be an upper set. Then

$$\forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{Z} : (X, Y \in F \Rightarrow X \sqcap Y \in F).$$

Let  $X \sqcap Y \in F$ ; then  $X, Y \in F$  because  $F$  is an upper set.

**3°  $\Rightarrow$  2°.** Let

$$\forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{Z} : (X, Y \in F \Leftrightarrow X \sqcap Y \in F).$$

Then  $\forall X, Y \in F : X \sqcap Y \in F$ . Let  $X \in F$  and  $X \sqsubseteq Y \in \mathfrak{Z}$ . Then  $X \sqcap Y = X \in F$ . Consequently  $X, Y \in F$ . So  $F$  is an upper set.

PROPOSITION 350. Let  $S$  be a filter base on a meet-semilattice. If  $A_0, \dots, A_n \in S$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), then

$$\exists C \in S : C \sqsubseteq A_0 \sqcap \dots \sqcap A_n.$$

PROOF. It can be easily proved by induction.  $\square$