

PROOF. Let a be an atom of the lattice \mathfrak{A} . We have for every $X, Y \in \mathfrak{Z}$

$$\begin{aligned} X \sqcup^{\mathfrak{Z}} Y \in \text{up } a &\Leftrightarrow \\ X \sqcup^{\mathfrak{A}} Y \in \text{up } a &\Leftrightarrow \\ X \sqcup^{\mathfrak{A}} Y \sqsupseteq a &\Leftrightarrow \\ X \sqcup^{\mathfrak{A}} Y \not\neq^{\mathfrak{A}} a &\Leftrightarrow \\ X \not\neq^{\mathfrak{A}} a \vee Y \not\neq^{\mathfrak{A}} a &\Leftrightarrow \\ X \sqsupseteq a \vee Y \sqsupseteq a &\Leftrightarrow \\ X \in \text{up } a \vee Y \in \text{up } a. & \end{aligned}$$

□

4.2.8. Some Criteria.

THEOREM 320. For a semifiltered, star-separable, down-aligned filtrator $(\mathfrak{A}; \mathfrak{Z})$ with finitely meet closed and separable core where \mathfrak{Z} is a complete boolean lattice and both \mathfrak{Z} and \mathfrak{A} are atomistic lattices the following conditions are equivalent for any $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{A}$:

- 1°. $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{Z}$;
- 2°. $\forall S \in \mathfrak{A} : \left(\mathcal{F} \sqcap^{\mathfrak{A}} \bigsqcup^{\mathfrak{A}} S \neq \perp \Rightarrow \exists \mathcal{K} \in S : \mathcal{F} \sqcap^{\mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{K} \neq \perp \right)$;
- 3°. $\forall S \in \mathfrak{Z} : \left(\mathcal{F} \sqcap^{\mathfrak{A}} \bigsqcup^{\mathfrak{A}} S \neq \perp \Rightarrow \exists K \in S : \mathcal{F} \sqcap^{\mathfrak{A}} K \neq \perp \right)$.

PROOF. Our filtrator is with join-closed core (theorem 292).

1° \Rightarrow 2°. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{Z}$. Then (taking into account the proposition 310)

$$\mathcal{F} \sqcap^{\mathfrak{A}} \bigsqcup^{\mathfrak{A}} S \neq \perp \Leftrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{F}} \not\sqsupseteq \bigsqcup^{\mathfrak{A}} S \Rightarrow \exists \mathcal{K} \in S : \overline{\mathcal{F}} \not\sqsupseteq \mathcal{K} \Leftrightarrow \exists \mathcal{K} \in S : \mathcal{F} \sqcap^{\mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{K} \neq \perp.$$

2° \Rightarrow 3°. Obvious.

3° \Rightarrow 1°. Let the formula (3) be true. Then for $L \in \mathfrak{Z}$ and $S = \text{atoms}^{\mathfrak{Z}} L$ it takes the form

$$\mathcal{F} \sqcap^{\mathfrak{A}} \bigsqcup^{\mathfrak{A}} S \neq \perp \Rightarrow \exists K \in S : \mathcal{F} \sqcap^{\mathfrak{A}} K \neq \perp$$

that is $\mathcal{F} \sqcap^{\mathfrak{A}} L \neq \perp \Rightarrow \exists K \in S : \mathcal{F} \sqcap^{\mathfrak{A}} K \neq \perp$ because $\bigsqcup^{\mathfrak{A}} \text{atoms}^{\mathfrak{Z}} L = \bigsqcup^{\mathfrak{Z}} \text{atoms}^{\mathfrak{Z}} L = L$. That is $\mathcal{F} \sqcap^{\mathfrak{A}} L \Rightarrow \mathcal{F} \sqcap^{\mathfrak{A}} K_L \neq \perp$ where $K_L \in S$. Thus K_L is an atom of both \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{Z} (see the theorem 316), so having $\mathcal{F} \sqcap^{\mathfrak{A}} L \neq \perp \Rightarrow \mathcal{F} \sqsupseteq K_L$. Let

$$F = \bigsqcup^{\mathfrak{Z}} \left\{ \frac{K_L}{L \in \mathfrak{Z}, \mathcal{F} \sqcap^{\mathfrak{A}} L \neq \perp} \right\}.$$

Then

$$F = \bigsqcup^{\mathfrak{A}} \left\{ \frac{K_L}{L \in \mathfrak{Z}, \mathcal{F} \sqcap^{\mathfrak{A}} L \neq \perp} \right\}.$$

Obviously $F \sqsubseteq \mathcal{F}$. We have $L \sqcap^{\mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{F} \neq \perp \Rightarrow K_L \sqcap^{\mathfrak{Z}} F \neq \perp \Rightarrow L \sqcap^{\mathfrak{Z}} F \neq \perp \Rightarrow L \sqcap^{\mathfrak{A}} F \neq \perp$, thus by star separability of our filtrator $\mathcal{F} \sqsubseteq F$ and so $\mathcal{F} = F \in \mathfrak{Z}$.

□

DEFINITION 321. Let S be a subset of a meet-semilattice. The *filter base generated by S* is the set

$$[S]_{\sqcap} = \left\{ \frac{a_0 \sqcap \dots \sqcap a_n}{a_i \in S, i = 0, 1, \dots} \right\}.$$