

# On Todd Trimble's notes on "topogeny"

BY VICTOR PORTON

Web: <http://www.mathematics21.org>

This short article is written as a response on Todd Trimble's notes:

<http://ncatlab.org/toddtrimble/published/topogeny>

In this my article I am going to reprise these Todd's theorems which are new for me, converted into terminology and notation of my book [1] and of

<http://www.mathematics21.org/binaries/rewrite-plan.pdf>

Now this article is a partial draft. I am going to integrate materials of this article into my book.

## 1 Misc

The following theorem is a strengthening suggested by Todd of my theorem:

**Theorem 1.**  $\prod^{\mathfrak{F}} S = \bigcup \{ \uparrow(K_0 \sqcap^3 \dots \sqcap^3 K_n) \mid K_i \in \bigcup S \text{ where } i = 0, \dots, n \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N} \}$  for every nonempty set  $S$  of filters on a meet-semilattice. [TODO: Strengthen my theorems requiring distributivity of lattice with this result which does not require it even to be a lattice.]

**Proof.** It follows from the fact that

$$\prod^{\mathfrak{F}} S = \prod^{\mathfrak{F}} \{ K_0 \sqcap^3 \dots \sqcap^3 K_n \mid K_i \in \bigcup S \text{ where } i = 0, \dots, n \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

and that  $\{ K_0 \sqcap^3 \dots \sqcap^3 K_n \mid K_i \in \bigcup S \text{ where } i = 0, \dots, n \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N} \}$  is a filter base.  $\square$

**Definition 2.** A complete lattice is *co-compact* iff  $\prod S = 0$  for a set  $S$  of elements of this lattice implies that there is its finite subset  $T \subseteq S$  such that  $\prod T = 0$ . [TODO: Remove the requirement to have least.]

**Proposition 3.** The poset of filters on a meet-semilattice  $\mathfrak{J}$  with least element is co-compact.

**Proof.** If  $0 \in \prod^{\mathfrak{F}} S$  then there are  $K_i \in \bigcup S$  such that  $0 \in \uparrow(K_0 \sqcap^3 \dots \sqcap^3 K_n)$  that is  $K_0 \sqcap^3 \dots \sqcap^3 K_n = 0$  from which easily follows  $\mathcal{F}_0 \sqcap^{\mathfrak{F}} \dots \sqcap^{\mathfrak{F}} \mathcal{F}_n = 0$  for some  $\mathcal{F}_i \in S$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.**  $\mathcal{X} [f] \prod S \Leftrightarrow \exists \mathcal{Y} \in S: \mathcal{X} [f] \mathcal{Y}$  if  $S$  is a generalized filter base on  $\text{Dst } f$ . [TODO: Pointfree funcoids.]

**Proof.**  $\mathcal{X} [f] \prod S \Leftrightarrow \prod S \sqcap \langle f \rangle \mathcal{X} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \prod \langle \langle f \rangle \mathcal{X} \sqcap \rangle^* S \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow$  (by properties of generalized filter bases)  $\Leftrightarrow \exists \mathcal{Y} \in \langle \langle f \rangle \mathcal{X} \sqcap \rangle^* S: \mathcal{Y} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \exists \mathcal{Y} \in S: \langle f \rangle \mathcal{X} \sqcap \mathcal{Y} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \exists \mathcal{Y} \in S: \mathcal{X} [f] \mathcal{Y}$ .  $\square$

The following theorem was easy to prove, but I would not discovered it without Todd's help:

**Theorem 5.** A function  $\varphi: \mathfrak{F}(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}(B)$  preserves finite joins and filtered meets iff there exists a funcoid  $f$  such that  $\langle f \rangle = \varphi$ . [TODO: Define filtered meets. Say about empty join 0.]