

Proof.

1. $\bigsqcup^{\mathfrak{Z}} T \in \Downarrow S \Leftrightarrow \bigsqcup^{\mathfrak{Z}} T \in S \Leftrightarrow \bigsqcup^{\mathfrak{A}} T \in S \Leftrightarrow T \cap S \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow T \cap \Downarrow S \neq \emptyset$ for every $T \in \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{Z}$; $0 \notin \Downarrow S$ is obvious.
2. There exists a principal filter \mathcal{F} such that $S = \partial \mathcal{F}$.
 $\bigsqcup^{\mathfrak{A}} T \in \Uparrow S \Leftrightarrow \text{up } \bigsqcup^{\mathfrak{A}} T \in S \Leftrightarrow \forall K \in \text{up } \bigsqcup^{\mathfrak{A}} T: K \in \partial \mathcal{F} \Leftrightarrow \forall K \in \text{up } \bigsqcup^{\mathfrak{A}} T: K \not\star \mathcal{F} \Leftrightarrow \bigsqcup^{\mathfrak{A}} T \not\star \mathcal{F} \Leftrightarrow \bigsqcup^{\mathfrak{A}} T \in \star \mathcal{F} \Leftrightarrow \exists \mathcal{K} \in T: \mathcal{K} \in \star \mathcal{F} \Leftrightarrow \exists \mathcal{K} \in T: \mathcal{K} \not\star \mathcal{F} \Leftrightarrow \exists \mathcal{K} \in T \forall K \in \text{up } \mathcal{K}: K \not\star \mathcal{F} \Leftrightarrow \exists \mathcal{K} \in T \forall K \in \text{up } \mathcal{K}: K \in \partial \mathcal{F} \Leftrightarrow \exists \mathcal{K} \in T: \text{up } \mathcal{K} \subseteq S \Leftrightarrow \exists \mathcal{K} \in T: \mathcal{K} \in \Uparrow S \Leftrightarrow T \cap \Uparrow S \neq \emptyset$.
 $0 \in \Uparrow S \Leftrightarrow \text{up } 0 \subseteq S \Leftrightarrow 0 \in S$ what is false. \square

Corollary 28. If S is a complete free star on \mathfrak{F} then $\Downarrow S$ is a complete free star on \mathfrak{P} , provided that \mathfrak{Z} is a complete lattice.

5 Complete staroids and multifuncoids

Definition 29. Consider an indexed family $(\mathfrak{A}_i; \mathfrak{Z}_i)$ of filtrators. A pre-staroid f of the form $\prod \mathfrak{Z}$ is *complete* in argument $k \in \text{arity } f$ when $(\text{val } f)_k L$ is a complete free star for every $L \in \prod_{i \in (\text{arity } f) \setminus \{k\}} \mathfrak{Z}_i$.

Definition 30. Consider an indexed family $(\mathfrak{A}_i; \mathfrak{Z}_i)$ of filtrators and pre-multifuncoid f is of the form $\prod \mathfrak{A}$. Then f is *complete* in argument $k \in \text{arity } f$ iff $\langle f \rangle_k L \in \mathfrak{Z}_k$ for every family $L \in \prod_{i \in (\text{arity } f) \setminus \{k\}} \mathfrak{Z}_i$.

Proposition 31. Consider an indexed family $(\mathfrak{F}_i; \mathfrak{Z}_i)$ of primary filtrators over boolean lattices. Let f be a pre-multifuncoid of the form \mathfrak{A} and $k \in \text{arity } f$. The following are equivalent:

1. Pre-multifuncoid f is complete in argument k .
2. Pre-staroid $\Downarrow [f]$ is complete in argument k .

Proof. $L \in \text{GR}[f] \Leftrightarrow L_i \not\star \langle f \rangle_i L|_{(\text{dom } L) \setminus \{i\}}$;

$(\text{val } \Downarrow [f])_k L = \partial \langle f \rangle_k L$ by the theorem ??17.81.

So $(\text{val } \Downarrow [f])_k L$ is a complete free star iff $\langle f \rangle_k L \in \mathfrak{Z}_k$ (proposition 22) for every $L \in \prod_{i \in (\text{arity } f) \setminus \{k\}} \mathfrak{Z}_i$. \square

Example 32. Consider funcoid $f = \text{id}^{\text{FCD}(U)}$. It is obviously complete in each its two arguments. Then $[f]$ is not complete in each of its two arguments because $(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{Y}) \in [f] \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{X} \not\star \mathcal{Y}$ what does not generate a complete free star if one of the arguments (say \mathcal{X}) is a fixed nonprincipal filter.

Theorem 33. Consider a semifiltered, star-separable, down-aligned filtrator $(\mathfrak{A}; \mathfrak{Z})$ with finitely meet closed and separable core where \mathfrak{Z} is a complete boolean lattice and both \mathfrak{Z} and \mathfrak{A} are atomistic lattices.

Let f be a multifuncoid of the aforementioned form. Let $k, l \in \text{arity } f$ and $k \neq l$. The following are equivalent:

1. f is complete in the argument k .
2. $\langle f \rangle_l (L \cup \{(k; \bigsqcup X)\}) = \bigsqcup_{x \in X} \langle f \rangle_l (L \cup \{(k; x)\})$ for every $X \in \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{Z}_k$, $L \in \prod_{i \in (\text{arity } f) \setminus \{k, l\}} \mathfrak{Z}_i$.
3. $\langle f \rangle_l (L \cup \{(k; \bigsqcup X)\}) = \bigsqcup_{x \in X} \langle f \rangle_l (L \cup \{(k; x)\})$ for every $X \in \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{A}_k$, $L \in \prod_{i \in (\text{arity } f) \setminus \{k, l\}} \mathfrak{Z}_i$.

Proof.

(3) \Rightarrow (2). Obvious.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Let $Y \in \mathfrak{Z}$.

$\bigsqcup X \not\star \langle f \rangle_k (L \cup \{(l; Y)\}) \Leftrightarrow Y \not\star \langle f \rangle_l (L \cup \{(k; \bigsqcup X)\}) \Leftrightarrow Y \not\star \bigsqcup_{x \in X} \langle f \rangle_l (L \cup \{(k; x)\}) \Leftrightarrow (\text{proposition 4.144??}) \Leftrightarrow \exists x \in X: Y \not\star \langle f \rangle_l (L \cup \{(k; x)\}) \Leftrightarrow \exists x \in X: x \not\star \langle f \rangle_k (L \cup \{(l; Y)\})$.