

We can limit to the case when L is a reloidal product. Then

$$L \in \bigcap \{ \text{up}(\{x\} \times^{\text{RLD}} \langle g \rangle \{x\}) \mid x \in \text{Src } f \} = \bigcap \{ \{ \{x\} \times Y \mid Y \in \text{up} \langle g \rangle \{x\} \} \mid x \in \text{Src } f \}.$$

It's enough to prove that $L \in \text{up } g$. Really, $\forall x \in \text{Src } f: \langle L \rangle^* \{x\} \in \text{up} \langle g \rangle \{x\}$ because $\langle L \rangle^* \{x\} \supseteq \langle T \rangle^* \{x\}$ for

$$T = \bigcap \{ \{ \{x\} \times Y \mid Y \in \text{up } G(x) \} \mid x \in \text{Src } f \}.$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L \rangle^* \{x\} &\supseteq \\ \bigcap \{ \{ \{x\} \times Y \}^* \{x'\} \mid x' = x, Y \in \text{up } G(x) \} \mid x \in \text{Src } f \} &= \\ \{ Y \mid Y \in \text{up } G(x') \} &= \\ \text{up } G(x'). & \end{aligned}$$

So $\langle L \rangle^* \{x\} \in \text{up} \langle g \rangle \{x\}$ and thus $L \in \text{up } g$. \square

Corollary 27. $f \neq g \Rightarrow (\text{RLD})_{\text{out}} f \neq (\text{RLD})_{\text{out}} g$ for complete funcoids f and g .

Theorem 28. Composition of complete reloids is complete.

Proof. Let f, g be complete reloids. Then $(\text{FCD})(g \circ f) = (\text{FCD})g \circ (\text{FCD})f$. Thus (because $(\text{FCD})(g \circ f)$ is a complete funcoid) we have $g \circ f = (\text{RLD})_{\text{out}}((\text{FCD})g \circ (\text{FCD})f)$, but $(\text{FCD})g \circ (\text{FCD})f$ is a complete funcoid, thus $g \circ f$ is a complete reloid. \square

Theorem 29.

1. $(\text{RLD})_{\text{out}} g \circ (\text{RLD})_{\text{out}} f = (\text{RLD})_{\text{out}}(g \circ f)$ for composable complete funcoids f and g .
2. $(\text{RLD})_{\text{out}} g \circ (\text{RLD})_{\text{out}} f = (\text{RLD})_{\text{out}}(g \circ f)$ for composable co-complete funcoids f and g .

Proof. Let f, g are composable complete funcoids.

$$(\text{FCD})((\text{RLD})_{\text{out}} g \circ (\text{RLD})_{\text{out}} f) = (\text{FCD})(\text{RLD})_{\text{out}} g \circ (\text{FCD})(\text{RLD})_{\text{out}} f = g \circ f.$$

Thus (taking into account that $(\text{RLD})_{\text{out}} g \circ (\text{RLD})_{\text{out}} f$ is complete) we have $(\text{RLD})_{\text{out}} g \circ (\text{RLD})_{\text{out}} f = (\text{RLD})_{\text{out}}(g \circ f)$.

For co-complete funcoids it's dual. \square

9 Not yet written

Continuity in metric spaces is continuity in topology spaces; uniform continuity in metric spaces is continuity in proximity and uniform spaces.

Pointfree analog of the lattice Γ . Also consider the lattice of finite unions of funcoidal products of filters (and generalizations).

Introduce core of a lattice $\text{FCD}(\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{A}); \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{B}))$ as $\text{FCD}(\mathfrak{A}; \mathfrak{B})$. Generalize it for staroids. Also filter on $\text{FCD}(\mathfrak{A}; \mathfrak{B})$ can be considered as pointfree reloids.

Uniform spaces (or proximities?) are equivalent to sets of filters? (Do tornings bijectively correspond to uniform spaces?)

Is Cor a functor for a. funcoids; b. reloids? Isn't it adjoint of \uparrow^{FCD} or \uparrow^{RLD} ?

Adjunction of prefunctors:

<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0304397585900623> (free download, also Google for "pre-adjunction", also "semi" instead of "pre") Are (FCD) and $(\text{RLD})_{\text{in}}$ adjunct?

Definition 30. A morphism of a category each Mor-sets of which is a meet-semilattice, is *weakly metamonovalued* iff $(g \sqcap h) \circ f = (g \circ f) \sqcap (h \circ f)$. Similarly define *weakly metainjective*.

Prove that monovalued, metamonovalued, and weakly metamonovalued are the same for Rel, FCD, and RLD.

What are pointfree funcoids between $\mathcal{P}A$ and $\mathcal{P}B$?