

Really,

1.  $L \in \text{StarComp}(a; f) \Leftrightarrow \exists y \in a \forall i \in n: y_i f_i L_i$ .

Define the relation  $R(f)$  by the formula  $x R(f) y \Leftrightarrow \forall i \in n: x_i f_i y_i$ . Obviously

$$R(\lambda i \in n: g_i \circ f_i) = R(g) \circ R(f).$$

$$L \in \text{StarComp}(a; f) \Leftrightarrow \exists y \in a: y R(f) L.$$

$$\begin{aligned} L \in \text{StarComp}(\text{StarComp}(a; f); g) &\Leftrightarrow \exists p \in \text{StarComp}(a; f): p R(g) L \Leftrightarrow \exists p, y \in a: \\ (y R(f) p \wedge p R(g) L) &\Leftrightarrow \exists y \in a: y(R(g) \circ R(f)) L \Leftrightarrow \exists y \in a: (y R(\lambda i \in n: g_i \circ f_i) L) \Leftrightarrow \\ L \in \text{StarComp}(a; \lambda i \in n: g_i \circ f_i) &\text{ because } p \in \text{StarComp}(a; f) \Leftrightarrow \exists y \in a: y R(f) p. \end{aligned}$$

2. Obvious.

3. It follows from the proposition above.  $\square$

**Theorem 135.**  $\left\langle \prod^{(C)} f \right\rangle \prod a = \prod_{i \in n} \langle f_i \rangle a_i$  for every families  $f = f_{i \in n}$  of binary relations and  $a = a_{i \in n}$  where  $a_i$  is a small set \*(for each  $i \in n$ ).

**Proof.**  $L \in \left\langle \prod^{(C)} f \right\rangle \prod a \Leftrightarrow L \in \text{StarComp}(\prod a; f) \Leftrightarrow \exists y \in \prod a \forall i \in n: y_i f_i L_i \Leftrightarrow \exists y \in \prod a \forall i \in n: \{y\} \not\star \langle f_i^{-1} \rangle \{L_i\} \Leftrightarrow \forall i \in n \exists y \in a_i: \{y\} \not\star \langle f_i^{-1} \rangle \{L_i\} \Leftrightarrow \forall i \in n: a_i \not\star \langle f_i^{-1} \rangle \{L_i\} \Leftrightarrow \forall i \in n: \{L_i\} \not\star \langle f_i \rangle a_i \Leftrightarrow \forall i \in n: L_i \in \langle f_i \rangle a_i \Leftrightarrow L \in \prod_{i \in n} \langle f_i \rangle a_i$ .  $\square$

## 11.5 Star composition of Rel-morphisms

Define *star composition* for an  $n$ -ary anchored relation  $a$  and an  $n$ -indexed family  $f$  of **Rel**-morphisms as an  $n$ -ary anchored relation complying with the formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Obj}_{\text{StarComp}(a; f)} &= \lambda i \in \text{arity } a: \text{Dst } f_i; \\ \text{arity } \text{StarComp}(a; f) &= \text{arity } a; \\ L \in \text{GR } \text{StarComp}(a; f) &\Leftrightarrow L \in \text{StarComp}(\text{GR } a; \text{GR } \circ f). \end{aligned}$$

(Here I denote  $\text{GR}(A; B; f) = f$  for every **Rel**-morphism  $f$ .)

**Proposition 136.**  $b \not\star \text{StarComp}(a; f) \Leftrightarrow \exists x \in a, y \in b \forall j \in n: x_j f_j y_j$ .

**Proof.** From the previous section.  $\square$

**Theorem 137.** Relations with above defined compositions form a quasi-invertible category with star-morphisms.

**Proof.** We need to prove:

1.  $\text{StarComp}(\text{StarComp}(m; f); g) = \text{StarComp}(m; \lambda i \in \text{arity } m: g_i \circ f_i)$ ;
2.  $\text{StarComp}(m; \lambda i \in \text{arity } m: \text{id}_{\text{Obj}_m i}) = m$ ;
3.  $b \not\star \text{StarComp}(a; f) \Leftrightarrow a \not\star \text{StarComp}(b; f^\dagger)$

(the rest is obvious).

It follows from the previous section.  $\square$

**Theorem 138.** Cross-composition product of a family of **Rel**-morphisms is a discrete funcoid.

**Proof.** By the proposition and symmetry  $\prod^{(C)} f$  is a pointfree funcoid. Obviously it is a funcoid  $\prod_{i \in n} \text{Src } f_i \rightarrow \prod_{i \in n} \text{Dst } f_i$ . Its completeness (and dually co-completeness) is obvious.  $\square$

## 11.6 Cross-composition product of funcoids

Let  $a$  is a an anchored relation of the form  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\text{dom } \mathfrak{A} = n$ .

Let every  $f_i$  (for all  $i \in n$ ) is a pointfree funcoid with  $\text{Src } f_i = \mathfrak{A}_i$ .