

Let f is a lower bound for $\left\{ \prod^{\text{Strd}(\mathfrak{F})} A \mid A \in S \right\}$. Thus for every $A \in S$ we have $L \in \text{GR } f$ implies $\forall i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A}: A_i \not\star L_i$. Then, by properties of generalized filter bases, $\forall i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A}: a_i \not\star L_i$ that is $L \in \text{GR } \prod^{\text{Strd}(\mathfrak{F})} a$.

So $f \subseteq \prod^{\text{Strd}(\mathfrak{F})} a$. \square

Theorem 98. Let \mathfrak{F} is a family of sets of filters on distributive lattices with least elements. Let $a \in \prod \mathfrak{F}$, $S \in \mathcal{P} \prod \mathfrak{F}$ is a generalized filter base, $\prod S = a$, f is a staroid of the form $\prod \mathfrak{F}$. Then

$$\prod^{\text{Strd}(\mathfrak{F})} a \not\star f \Leftrightarrow \forall A \in S: \prod^{\text{Strd}(\mathfrak{A})} A \not\star f.$$

Proof. It follows from the previous theorem by properties of generalized filter bases. \square

9.1 On products of staroids

Definition 99. $\prod^{(D)} F = \{\text{uncurry } z \mid z \in \prod F\}$ (*reindexation product*) for every indexed family F of relations.

Definition 100. *Reindexation product* of an indexed family F of anchored relations is defined by the formulas:

$$\text{form } \prod^{(D)} F = \text{uncurry}(\text{form} \circ F) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{GR } \prod^{(D)} F = \prod^{(D)} (\text{GR} \circ F).$$

Obvious 101.

1. $\text{form } \prod^{(D)} F = \{((i; j); (\text{form } F_i)_j) \mid i \in \text{dom } F, j \in \text{arity } F_i\}$;
2. $\text{GR } \prod^{(D)} F = \{((i; j); (zi)j) \mid i \in \text{dom } F, j \in \text{arity } F_i \mid z \in \prod (\text{GR} \circ F)\}$.

Proposition 102. $\prod^{(D)} F$ is an anchored relation if every F_i is an anchored relation.

Proof. We need to prove $\text{GR } \prod^{(D)} F \in \mathcal{P} \prod \text{form}(\prod^{(D)} F)$ that is

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{GR } \prod^{(D)} F \subseteq \prod \text{form}(\prod^{(D)} F) \\ & \{\text{uncurry } z \mid z \in \prod (\text{GR} \circ F)\} \in \mathcal{P} \prod \{((i; j); (\text{form } F_i)_j) \mid i \in \text{dom } F, j \in \text{arity } F_i\}; \\ & \{\text{uncurry } z \mid z \in \prod (\text{GR} \circ F)\} \subseteq \prod \{((i; j); (\text{form } F_i)_j) \mid i \in \text{dom } F, j \in \text{arity } F_i\} \\ & \{((i; j); (zi)j) \mid i \in \text{dom } F, j \in \text{arity } F_i \mid z \in \prod (\text{GR} \circ F)\} \subseteq \prod \{((i; j); (\text{form } F_i)_j) \mid i \in \text{dom } F, \\ & j \in \text{arity } F_i\}; \\ & \forall z \in \prod (\text{GR} \circ F), i \in \text{dom } F, j \in \text{arity } F_i: (zi)j \in (\text{form } F_i)_j. \\ & \text{Really, } zi \in \text{GR } F_i \subseteq \prod (\text{form } F_i) \text{ and thus } (zi)j \in (\text{form } F_i)_j. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Remark 103. I suspect that the above proof can be simplified.

Obvious 104. $\text{arity } \prod^{(D)} F = \prod_{i \in \text{dom } F} \text{arity } F_i = \{(i; j) \mid i \in \text{dom } F, j \in \text{arity } F_i\}$.

Definition 105. $f \times^{(D)} g = \prod^{(D)} \llbracket f; g \rrbracket$.

Lemma 106. $\prod^{(D)} F$ is an upper set if every F_i is an upper set.

Proof. We need to prove that $\prod^{(D)} F$ is an upper set. Let $a \in \prod^{(D)} F$ and an anchored relation $b \sqsupseteq a$ of the same form as a . We have $a = \text{uncurry } z$ for some $z \in \prod F$ that is $a(i; j) = (zi)j$ for all $i \in \text{dom } F$ and $j \in \text{dom } F_i$ where $zi \in F_i$. Also $b(i; j) \sqsupseteq a(i; j)$. Thus $(\text{curry } b)i \sqsupseteq zi$; $\text{curry } b \in \prod F$ because every F_i is an upper set and so $b \in \prod^{(D)} F$. \square

Proposition 107. Let F is an indexed family of anchored relations and every $(\text{form } F)_i$ is a join-semilattice.

1. $\prod^{(D)} F$ is a pre-staroid if every F_i is a pre-staroid.