

Proof. We need to prove that $\lambda i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A}: a_i \# b_i = \prod \{z \in \prod \mathfrak{A} \mid z \sqsubseteq a \wedge z \asymp b\}$.

To prove it is enough to show $a_i \# b_i = \prod \{z_i \mid z \in \prod \mathfrak{A}, z \sqsubseteq a \wedge z \asymp b\}$ that is $a_i \# b_i = \prod \{z \in \mathfrak{A}_i \mid z \sqsubseteq a_i \wedge \forall j \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A}: z_j \asymp b_j\}$ that is $a_i \# b_i = \prod \{z \in \mathfrak{A}_i \mid z \sqsubseteq a_i \wedge z \asymp b_i\}$ (take $z_i = 0$ for $j \neq i$) what is true by definition. \square

Proposition 40. Let every \mathfrak{A}_i is a poset with least element and a_i^* is defined. Then $a^* = \lambda i \in n: a_i^*$.

Proof. We need to prove that $\lambda i \in \text{dom } \mathfrak{A}: a_i^* = \sqcup \{c \in \mathfrak{A} \mid c \asymp a\}$. To prove this it is enough to show that $a_i^* = \sqcup \{c_i \mid c \in \mathfrak{A}, c \asymp a\}$ that is $a_i^* = \sqcup \{c_i \mid c \in \mathfrak{A}, \forall j \in n: c_j \asymp a_j\}$ that is $a_i^* = \sqcup \{c_i \mid c \in \mathfrak{A}, c_i \asymp a_i\}$ (take $c_i = 0$ for $j \neq i$) that is $a_i^* = \sqcup \{c \in \mathfrak{A} \mid c \asymp a_i\}$ what is true by definition. \square

Corollary 41. Let every \mathfrak{A}_i is a poset with least element and a_i^+ is defined. Then $a^+ = \lambda i \in n: a_i^+$.

Proof. By duality. \square

5 Definition of staroids

Let n be a set. As an example, n may be an ordinal, n may be a natural number, considered as a set by the formula $n = \{0, \dots, n-1\}$. Let $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}_{i \in n}$ is a family of posets indexed by the set n .

Definition 42. I will call an *anchored relation* a pair $f = (\text{form } f; \text{GR } f)$ of a family $\text{form}(f)$ of sets indexed by the some index set and a relation $\text{GR}(f) \in \mathcal{P} \prod \text{form}(f)$. I call $\text{GR}(f)$ the *graph* of the anchored relation f . I denote $\text{Anch}(\mathfrak{A})$ the set of small anchored relations of the form \mathfrak{A} .

Definition 43. An anchored relation *on powersets* is an anchored relation f such that every $(\text{form } f)_i$ is a powerset.

I will denote $\text{arity } f = \text{dom form } f$.

Definition 44. Every set of anchored relations of the same form constitutes a poset by the formula $f \sqsubseteq g \Leftrightarrow \text{GR } f \subseteq \text{GR } g$.

Definition 45. An anchored relation is an *anchored relation between posets* when every $(\text{form } f)_i$ is a poset.

Definition 46. Let f is an anchored relation. For every $i \in \text{arity } f$ and $L \in \prod ((\text{form } f)|_{(\text{arity } f) \setminus \{i\}})$

$$(\text{val } f)_i L = \{X \in (\text{form } f)_i \mid L \cup \{(i; X)\} \in \text{GR } f\}$$

(“val” is an abbreviation of the word “value”.)

Obvious 47. $X \in (\text{val } f)_i L \Leftrightarrow L \cup \{(i; X)\} \in \text{GR } f$.

Proposition 48. f can be restored knowing $\text{form}(f)$ and $(\text{val } f)_i$ for some $i \in n$.

Proof. $\text{GR } f = \{K \in \prod \text{form } f \mid K \in \text{GR } f\} = \{L \cup \{(i; X)\} \mid L \in \prod (\text{form } f)|_{(\text{arity } f) \setminus \{i\}}, X \in (\text{form } f)_i, L \cup \{(i; X)\} \in \text{GR } f\} = \{L \cup \{(i; X)\} \mid L \in \prod (\text{form } f)|_{(\text{arity } f) \setminus \{i\}}, X \in (\text{val } f)_i L\}$. \square

Definition 49. A *pre-staroid* is an anchored relation f between poset such that $(\text{val } f)_i L$ is a free star for every $i \in \text{arity } f$, $L \in \prod (\text{form } f)|_{(\text{arity } f) \setminus \{i\}}$.

Definition 50. A *staroid* is a pre-staroid whose graph is an upper set (on the poset if anchored relations of the form of this pre-staroid).

Proposition 51. If $L \in \prod \text{form } f$ and $L_i = 0^{(\text{form } f)_i}$ for some $i \in \text{arity } f$ then $L \notin f$ if f is an pre-staroid.

Proof. Let $K = L|_{(\text{arity } f) \setminus \{i\}}$. We have $0 \notin (\text{val } f)_i K$; $K \cup \{(i; 0)\} \notin f$; $L \notin f$. \square