

Take any subset S of \mathfrak{A} . Let D be the smallest upper set containing S . (It exists because $\text{Up}(\mathfrak{A})$ is closed under arbitrary joins.) This is

$$D = \{x \in \mathfrak{A} \mid \exists s \in S: x \sqsupseteq s\}.$$

Any lower bound of D is clearly an upper bound of S since $D \supseteq S$. Conversely any lower bound of S is a lower bound of D . Thus S and D have the same set of lower bounds, hence have the same greatest lower bound. \square

Proposition 17. [TODO: Move it above in the book.] For any poset \mathfrak{A} the following are mutually reverse order isomorphisms between upper sets F (ordered reverse to set-theoretic inclusion) on \mathfrak{A} and order homomorphisms $\varphi: \mathfrak{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow 2$ (here 2 is the partially ordered set of two elements: 0 and 1 where $0 \sqsubseteq 1$), defined by the formulas

1. $\varphi(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \in F \\ 0 & \text{if } a \notin F \end{cases}$ for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$;
2. $F = \varphi^{-1}(1)$.

Proof. Let $X \in \varphi^{-1}(1)$ and $Y \sqsupseteq X$. Then $\varphi(X) = 1$ and thus $\varphi(Y) = 1$. Thus $\varphi^{-1}(1)$ is a upper set.

It is easy to show that φ defined by the formula (1) is an order homomorphism $\mathfrak{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow 2$ whenever F is a upper set.

Finally we need to prove that they are mutually inverse. Really: Let φ be defined by the formula (1). Then take $F' = \varphi^{-1}(1)$ and define $\varphi'(a)$ by the formula (1). We have

$$\varphi'(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \in \varphi^{-1}(1) \\ 0 & \text{if } a \notin \varphi^{-1}(1) \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \varphi(a) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } \varphi(a) \neq 1 \end{cases} = \varphi(a).$$

Let now F be defined by the formula (2). Then take $\varphi'(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \in F \\ 0 & \text{if } a \notin F \end{cases}$ as defined by the formula (1) and define $F' = \varphi'^{-1}(1)$. Then

$$F' = \varphi'^{-1}(1) = F. \quad \square$$

Lemma 18. For a complete lattice \mathfrak{A} , the map $\sqcap: \text{Up}(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ preserves arbitrary meets.

Proof. Let $S \in \mathcal{P} \text{Up}(\mathfrak{A})$. We have $\sqcap S \in \text{Up}(\mathfrak{A})$.

$\sqcap \sqcap S = \sqcap \sqcap_{X \in S} X = \sqcap_{X \in S} \sqcap X$ is what we needed to prove. \square

Lemma 19. A complete lattice \mathfrak{A} is a co-frame iff $\sqcap: \text{Up}(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ preserves finite joins.

Proof.

\Rightarrow . Let \mathfrak{A} be a co-frame. Let $D, D' \in \text{Up}(\mathfrak{A})$. Obviously $\sqcap (D \sqcup D') \sqsupseteq \sqcap D$ and $\sqcap (D \sqcup D') \sqsupseteq \sqcap D'$, so $\sqcap (D \sqcup D') \sqsupseteq \sqcap D \sqcup \sqcap D'$.

Also $\sqcap D \sqcup \sqcap D' = \sqcup D \sqcup \sqcup D' =$ (because \mathfrak{A} is a co-frame) $= \sqcup \{d \sqcup d' \mid d \in D, d' \in D'\}$. Obviously $d \sqcup d' \in D \cap D'$, thus $\sqcap D \sqcup \sqcap D' \subseteq \sqcup (D \cap D') = \sqcap (D \cap D')$ that is $\sqcap D \sqcup \sqcap D' \sqsupseteq \sqcap (D \cap D')$. So $\sqcap (D \sqcup D') = \sqcap D \sqcup \sqcap D'$ that is $\sqcap: \text{Up}(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ preserves binary joins.

It preserves nullary joins since $\sqcap^{\text{Up}(\mathfrak{A})} \perp_{\text{Up}(\mathfrak{A})} = \sqcap^{\text{Up}(\mathfrak{A})} \mathfrak{A} = \perp_{\mathfrak{A}}$.