

So by properties of generalized filter bases, there exists $A \in \text{up } a$ such that $Y \in \text{up } \langle f \rangle A$. \square

Lemma 31. $(\text{FCD})f = \prod^{\text{FCD}} (\Gamma(A; B) \cap \text{GR } f)$ for every reloid $f \in \text{RLD}(A; B)$.

Proof. Let a be an ultrafilter. We need to prove

$$\langle (\text{FCD})f \rangle a = \left\langle \prod^{\text{FCD}} (\Gamma(A; B) \cap \text{GR } f) \right\rangle a$$

that is

$$\left\langle \prod^{\text{FCD}} \text{up } f \right\rangle a = \left\langle \prod^{\text{FCD}} (\Gamma(A; B) \cap \text{GR } f) \right\rangle a$$

that is

$$\prod_{F \in \text{up } f}^{\mathfrak{F}} \langle F \rangle a = \prod_{F \in \Gamma(A; B) \cap \text{up } f}^{\mathfrak{F}} \langle F \rangle a.$$

For this it's enough to prove that $Y \in \text{up } \langle F \rangle a$ for some $F \in \text{up } f$ implies $Y \in \text{up } \langle F' \rangle a$ for some $F' \in \Gamma(A; B) \cap \text{up } f$.

Let $Y \in \text{up } \langle F \rangle a$. Then (proposition above) there exists $A \in \text{up } a$ such that $Y \in \text{up } \langle F \rangle A$.

$Y \in \text{up } \langle A \times^{\text{FCD}} Y \sqcup \bar{A} \times^{\text{FCD}} 1 \rangle a$; $\langle A \times^{\text{FCD}} Y \sqcup \bar{A} \times^{\text{FCD}} 1 \rangle \mathcal{X} = Y \in \text{up } \langle F \rangle \mathcal{X}$ if $0 \neq \mathcal{X} \sqsubseteq A$ and $\langle A \times^{\text{FCD}} Y \sqcup \bar{A} \times^{\text{FCD}} 1 \rangle \mathcal{X} = 1 \in \text{up } \langle F \rangle \mathcal{X}$ if $\mathcal{X} \not\sqsubseteq A$.

Thus $A \times^{\text{FCD}} Y \sqcup \bar{A} \times^{\text{FCD}} 1 \sqsupseteq F$. So $A \times^{\text{FCD}} Y \sqcup \bar{A} \times^{\text{FCD}} 1$ is the sought for F' . \square

4.2 Relationships between (FCD) and (RLD) $_{\Gamma}$

Definition 32. $(\text{RLD})_{\Gamma} f = \prod^{\text{RLD}} \text{up}^{\Gamma(\text{Src } f; \text{Dst } f)} f$ for every funcooid f . I call $(\text{RLD})_{\Gamma}$ as Γ -reloid or Gamma-reloid.

Lemma 33. $(\text{FCD})(\text{RLD})_{\Gamma} f = f$ for every funcooid f .

Proof. For every filter $\mathcal{X} \in \mathfrak{F}(\text{Src } f)$ we have $\langle (\text{FCD})(\text{RLD})_{\Gamma} f \rangle \mathcal{X} = \prod_{F \in \text{up}^{\Gamma(\text{Src } f; \text{Dst } f)} f}^{\mathfrak{F}} \langle F \rangle \mathcal{X} = \prod_{F \in \text{up}^{\Gamma(\text{Src } f; \text{Dst } f)} f}^{\mathfrak{F}} \langle F \rangle \mathcal{X}$.

Obviously $\prod_{F \in \text{up}^{\Gamma(\text{Src } f; \text{Dst } f)} f}^{\mathfrak{F}} \langle F \rangle \mathcal{X} \sqsupseteq \langle f \rangle \mathcal{X}$. So $(\text{FCD})(\text{RLD})_{\Gamma} f \sqsupseteq f$.

Let $Y \in \text{up } \langle f \rangle \mathcal{X}$. Then (proposition above) there exists $A \in \text{up } \mathcal{X}$ such that $Y \in \text{up } \langle f \rangle A$.

Thus $A \times Y \cup \bar{A} \times 1 \in \text{up } f$. So $\langle (\text{FCD})(\text{RLD})_{\Gamma} f \rangle \mathcal{X} = \prod_{F \in \text{up}^{\Gamma(\text{Src } f; \text{Dst } f)} f}^{\mathfrak{F}} \langle F \rangle \mathcal{X} \sqsubseteq \langle A \times Y \cup \bar{A} \times 1 \rangle \mathcal{X} = Y$. So $Y \in \text{up } \langle (\text{FCD})(\text{RLD})_{\Gamma} f \rangle \mathcal{X}$ that is $\langle f \rangle \mathcal{X} \sqsupseteq \langle (\text{FCD})(\text{RLD})_{\Gamma} f \rangle \mathcal{X}$ that is $f \sqsupseteq (\text{FCD})(\text{RLD})_{\Gamma} f$. \square

Proposition 34. $(\text{RLD})_{\Gamma}$ is neither upper nor lower adjoint of (FCD) (in general).

Proof. It is not upper adjoint because $(\text{RLD})_{\text{in}}$ is the upper adjoint of (FCD) and $(\text{RLD})_{\text{in}} \neq (\text{RLD})_{\Gamma}$.

If $(\text{RLD})_{\Gamma}$ is the lower adjoint of (FCD), then $f \sqsupseteq (\text{RLD})_{\Gamma} (\text{FCD}) f$ and thus $f \sqsupseteq (\text{RLD})_{\text{in}} (\text{FCD}) f$. But $f \sqsubseteq (\text{RLD})_{\text{in}} (\text{FCD}) f$, thus having $(\text{RLD})_{\text{in}} (\text{FCD}) f = f$ what is not an identity (take $f = (=) \downarrow_A$ for an infinite set A). \square

5 The diagram

Theorem 35. The following is a commutative diagram (in category **Set**), every arrow in this diagram is an isomorphism. Every cycle in this diagram is an identity (therefore “parallel” arrows are mutually inverse). The arrows preserve order, composition, and reversal ($f \mapsto f^{-1}$).