

A filter object  $\mathcal{A}$  is represented by the value of  $\text{up } \mathcal{A}$ . We are not interested in the internal structure of filter objects (which can be inferred from the appendix Appendix B), but only in the value of  $\text{up } \mathcal{A}$ . Thus the name “filter objects” by analogy with an object in object oriented programming where an object is completely characterized by its methods, likewise a filter object  $\mathcal{A}$  is completely characterized by  $\text{up } \mathcal{A}$ .

## 7. Lattice of filter objects

7.1. *Minimal and maximal f.o.*

**Obvious 18** *The filter object  $0 = \text{up}^{-1} \mathfrak{A}$  (equal to the least element of the poset  $\mathfrak{A}$  if this least exists) is the least element of the poset of filter objects.*

**Proposition 24** *If there exists greatest element  $1$  of the poset  $\mathfrak{A}$  then it is also the greatest element of the poset of filter objects.*

**Proof** Take in account that filters are nonempty. □

**Obvious 19** 1. *If the base poset has least element, then the primary filtrator is down-aligned.*

2. *If the base poset has greatest element, then the primary filtrator is up-aligned.*

7.2. *Primary filtrator is filtered*

**Theorem 31** *Every primary filtrator is filtered.*

**Proof** We need to prove that  $\mathcal{A} = \bigcap^{\mathfrak{F}} \text{up } \mathcal{A}$  for every  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{F}$ .

$\mathcal{A}$  is obviously a lower bound for  $\text{up } \mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a lower bound for  $\text{up } \mathcal{A}$  that is  $\forall K \in \text{up } \mathcal{A} : K \supseteq \mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\text{up } \mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{up } \mathcal{B}$ ;  $\mathcal{A} \supseteq \mathcal{B}$ . So  $\mathcal{A}$  is the greatest lower bound of  $\text{up } \mathcal{A}$ . □

7.3. *Formulas for meets and joins of filter objects*

**Lemma 2** *If  $f$  is an order embedding from a poset  $\mathfrak{A}$  to a complete lattice  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $S \in \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{A}$  and exists such  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{A}$  that  $f\mathcal{F} = \bigcup^{\mathfrak{B}} \langle f \rangle S$ , then  $\bigcup^{\mathfrak{A}} S$  exists and  $f\bigcup^{\mathfrak{A}} S = \bigcup^{\mathfrak{B}} \langle f \rangle S$ .*

**Proof**  $f$  is an order isomorphism from  $\mathfrak{A}$  to  $\mathfrak{B}|_{\langle f \rangle \mathfrak{A}}$ .  $f\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{B}|_{\langle f \rangle \mathfrak{A}}$ .

Consequently,  $\bigcup^{\mathfrak{B}} \langle f \rangle S \in \mathfrak{B}|_{\langle f \rangle \mathfrak{A}}$  and  $\bigcup^{\mathfrak{B}|_{\langle f \rangle \mathfrak{A}}} \langle f \rangle S = \bigcup^{\mathfrak{B}} \langle f \rangle S$ .

$f\bigcup^{\mathfrak{A}} S = \bigcup^{\mathfrak{B}|_{\langle f \rangle \mathfrak{A}}} \langle f \rangle S$  because  $f$  is an order isomorphism.

Combining,  $f\bigcup^{\mathfrak{A}} S = \bigcup^{\mathfrak{B}} \langle f \rangle S$ . □

**Theorem 32** *If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a meet-semilattice with greatest element  $1$  then  $\bigcup^{\mathfrak{F}} S$  exists and  $\text{up } \bigcup^{\mathfrak{F}} S = \bigcap^{\mathcal{P}\mathfrak{A}} \langle \text{up} \rangle S$  for every  $S \in \mathcal{P}\mathfrak{F}$ .*