

So  $\langle f \rangle|_{\text{up } \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{P}\text{Base}(\mathcal{F})} = \langle f \rangle|_{\text{up } \mathcal{F}}$  is a bijection from  $\text{up } \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{P}B$  to  $\text{up}(\uparrow^A\{a\} \times^{\text{RLD}} \mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{P}B$ .

We have  $\text{up } \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{P}\text{Base}(\mathcal{F})$  and  $\text{up}(\uparrow^A\{a\} \times^{\text{RLD}} \mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{P}B$  directly isomorphic and thus  $\text{up } \mathcal{F}$  is isomorphic to  $\text{up}(\uparrow^A\{a\} \times^{\text{RLD}} \mathcal{F})$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 79.** A monovalued reloid with atomic domain is atomic.

**Proof.** Let  $f$  is a monovalued reloid with atomic domain. There exists a function  $F \in \text{up } f$ .

We have  $f \subseteq (\uparrow^{\text{RLD}(\text{Src } f; \text{Dst } f)} F)|_{\text{dom } f}$ . Thus it suffices to prove that  $(\uparrow^{\text{RLD}(\text{Src } f; \text{Dst } f)} F)|_{\text{dom } f}$  is atomic.

Let the function  $\tau: \text{dom } F \rightarrow F$  is defined by the formula  $\tau x = (x; Fx)$  (for every  $x \in \text{dom } F$ ).

That  $\tau$  is an injection is obvious. That  $\tau$  is a surjection is also obvious. Thus  $\tau$  is a bijection.

Let  $T = \langle \tau \rangle|_{\text{up } \text{dom } f \cap \mathcal{P}\text{dom } F}$ .

If  $X \in \text{up } \text{dom } f \cap \mathcal{P}\text{dom } F$  then

$$TX = \{\tau x \mid x \in X\} = \{(x; Fx) \mid x \in X\} = F|_X.$$

Thus  $TX \subseteq F$  and  $\uparrow^{\text{RLD}(\text{Src } f; \text{Dst } f)} TX \supseteq (\uparrow^{\text{RLD}(\text{Src } f; \text{Dst } f)} F)|_{\text{dom } f}$ . So

$$TX \in \text{up} \left( (\uparrow^{\text{RLD}(\text{Src } f; \text{Dst } f)} F)|_{\text{dom } f} \right) \cap \mathcal{P}F.$$

So  $T: \text{up } \text{dom } f \cap \mathcal{P}\text{dom } F \rightarrow \text{up} \left( (\uparrow^{\text{RLD}(\text{Src } f; \text{Dst } f)} F)|_{\text{dom } f} \right) \cap \mathcal{P}F$ .

Let  $X, Y \in \text{up } \text{dom } f \cap \mathcal{P}\text{dom } F$  and  $X \neq Y$ . Then  $TX = \langle \tau \rangle X \neq \langle \tau \rangle Y = TY$  because  $\tau$  is a bijection. So  $T$  is an injection.

Let  $Y \in \text{up} \left( (\uparrow^{\text{RLD}(\text{Src } f; \text{Dst } f)} F)|_{\text{dom } f} \right) \cap \mathcal{P}F$ . Then  $Y \subseteq F$  and thus  $Y = F|_{\text{dom } Y}$ . We have  $\text{dom } Y \in \text{up } \text{dom } f \cap \mathcal{P}\text{dom } F$  and

$$T \text{ dom } Y = \{\tau x \mid x \in \text{dom } Y\} = \{(x; Fx) \mid x \in \text{dom } Y\} = F|_{\text{dom } Y} = Y.$$

Thus  $T$  is a surjection.

Thus  $T$  is a bijection and so  $\text{up } \text{dom } f \cap \mathcal{P}\text{dom } F$  is directly isomorphic to  $\text{up} \left( (\uparrow^{\text{RLD}(\text{Src } f; \text{Dst } f)} F)|_{\text{dom } f} \right) \cap \mathcal{P}F$ . Consequently  $\text{up } \text{dom } f$  is isomorphic to  $\text{up} \left( \uparrow^{\text{RLD}(\text{Src } f; \text{Dst } f)} F \right)|_{\text{dom } f}$ , and so  $(\uparrow^{\text{RLD}(\text{Src } f; \text{Dst } f)} F)|_{\text{dom } f}$  is an atomic filter object because  $\text{dom } f$  is atomic by the assumption.  $\square$

**Theorem 80.** If  $f, g$  are reloids,  $f \subseteq g$  and  $g$  is monovalued then  $g|_{\text{dom } f} = f$ .

**Proof.** It's simple to show that  $f = \bigcup \{f|_a \mid a \in \text{atoms } 1^{\mathfrak{F}(\text{Src } f)}\}$  (use the fact that every atomic reloid  $k \subseteq f|_a$  for some  $a \in \text{atoms } 1^{\mathfrak{F}(\text{Src } f)}$  and the fact that  $\text{RLD}(\text{Src } f; \text{Dst } f)$  is atomistic).

Suppose that  $g|_{\text{dom } f} \neq f$ . Then there exists  $a \in \text{atoms } \text{dom } f$  such that  $g|_a \neq f|_a$ .

Obviously  $g|_a \supseteq f|_a$ .

If  $g|_a \supset f|_a$  then  $g|_a$  is not atomic (because  $f|_a \neq 0^{\text{RLD}(\text{Src } f; \text{Dst } f)}$ ) what contradicts to a theorem above. So  $g|_a = f|_a$  what is a contradiction and thus  $g|_{\text{dom } f} = f$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 81.** Every monovalued reloid is a restricted discrete monovalued reloid.

**Proof.** Let  $f$  is a monovalued reloid. Then exists a function  $F \in \text{up } f$ . So we have

$$(\uparrow^{\text{RLD}(\text{Src } f; \text{Dst } f)} F)|_{\text{dom } f} = f. \quad \square$$

**Corollary 82.** Every monovalued injective reloid is a restricted injective monovalued discrete reloid.

**Proof.** Let  $f$  is a monovalued injective reloid. There exists a function  $F$  such that  $f = (\uparrow^{\text{RLD}(\text{Src } f; \text{Dst } f)} F)|_{\text{dom } f}$ . Also there exists an injection  $G \in \text{up } f$ .

Thus  $f = f \cap ((\uparrow^{\text{RLD}(\text{Src } f; \text{Dst } f)} G)|_{\text{dom } f}) = (\uparrow^{\text{RLD}(\text{Src } f; \text{Dst } f)} F)|_{\text{dom } f} \cap ((\uparrow^{\text{RLD}(\text{Src } f; \text{Dst } f)} G)|_{\text{dom } f}) = (\uparrow^{\text{RLD}(\text{Src } f; \text{Dst } f)} (F \cap G))|_{\text{dom } f}$ . Obviously  $F \cap G$  is an injection.  $\square$

**Theorem 83.** If a reloid  $f$  is monovalued and  $\text{dom } f$  is a principal f.o. then  $f$  is discrete.