

If $z \subseteq a \wedge z \cap^{\mathfrak{F}} b = 0^{\mathfrak{A}}$ then $z' = \bigcup \{x \in \text{atoms } z \mid x \cap^{\mathfrak{A}} b = 0^{\mathfrak{A}}\}$ is defined.
 z' is a lower bound for $\{z \in \text{atoms } a \mid z \cap^{\mathfrak{A}} b = 0^{\mathfrak{A}}\}$.

Thus $z' \in \{z \in \mathfrak{A} \mid z \subseteq a \wedge z \cap^{\mathfrak{A}} b = 0^{\mathfrak{A}}\}$ and so $\bigcup \{z \in \text{atoms } a \mid z \cap^{\mathfrak{A}} b = 0^{\mathfrak{A}}\}$ is an upper bound of $\{z \in \mathfrak{A} \mid z \subseteq a \wedge z \cap^{\mathfrak{A}} b = 0^{\mathfrak{A}}\}$.

If y is above every $z' \in \{z \in \mathfrak{A} \mid z \subseteq a \wedge z \cap^{\mathfrak{A}} b = 0^{\mathfrak{A}}\}$ then y is above every $z \in \text{atoms } a$ such that $z \cap^{\mathfrak{A}} b = 0^{\mathfrak{A}}$ and thus y is above $\bigcup \{z \in \text{atoms } a \mid z \cap^{\mathfrak{A}} b = 0^{\mathfrak{A}}\}$.

Thus $\bigcup \{z \in \text{atoms } a \mid z \cap^{\mathfrak{A}} b = 0^{\mathfrak{A}}\}$ is least upper bound of

$$\{z \in \mathfrak{A} \mid z \subseteq a \wedge z \cap^{\mathfrak{A}} b = 0^{\mathfrak{A}}\},$$

that is

$$\bigcup \{z \in \mathfrak{A} \mid z \subseteq a \wedge z \cap^{\mathfrak{A}} b = 0^{\mathfrak{A}}\} = \bigcup \{z \in \text{atoms } a \mid z \cap^{\mathfrak{A}} b = 0^{\mathfrak{A}}\} = \bigcup (\text{atoms } a \setminus \text{atoms } b).$$

□

Note that \mathfrak{F} is co-brouwerian by corollary 11 in [1] and atomistic by theorem 48 in [1], so our theorem applies to the lattice \mathfrak{F} , and more generally to any filters on a boolean lattice.

Proposition 1 *For filters on boolean lattices the three above ways to express quasidifference of a and b are also equal to $\bigcup^{\mathfrak{F}} \{a \cap^{\mathfrak{F}} \uparrow \overline{B} \mid B \in \text{up } b\}$ ($\uparrow X$ denotes the principal filter induced by X).*

Remark 1 *By corollary 8 in [1] the set of filters on a boolean lattice is complete. So our formula is well-defined.*

Proof Using results from [1]:

$$\bigcup^{\mathfrak{F}} \{z \in \mathfrak{F} \mid z \subseteq a \wedge z \cap b = 0^{\mathfrak{F}}\} \subseteq \bigcup^{\mathfrak{F}} \{a \cap^{\mathfrak{F}} \uparrow \overline{B} \mid B \in \text{up } b\} \text{ because}$$

$$\begin{aligned} z \in \{z \in \mathfrak{F} \mid z \subseteq a \wedge z \cap b = 0^{\mathfrak{F}}\} &\Leftrightarrow z \subseteq a \wedge z \cap b = 0^{\mathfrak{F}} \Leftrightarrow \\ z \subseteq a \wedge \exists B \in \text{up } b : z \cap \uparrow B &= 0^{\mathfrak{F}} \Leftrightarrow z \subseteq a \wedge \exists B \in \text{up } b : z \subseteq \uparrow \overline{B} \Leftrightarrow \\ \exists B \in \text{up } b : (z \subseteq a \wedge z \subseteq \uparrow \overline{B}) &\Leftrightarrow \exists B \in \text{up } b : z \subseteq a \cap^{\mathfrak{F}} \uparrow \overline{B} \Rightarrow \\ z &\subseteq \bigcup^{\mathfrak{F}} \{a \cap^{\mathfrak{F}} \uparrow \overline{B} \mid B \in \text{up } b\}. \end{aligned}$$

But obviously $a \cap^{\mathfrak{F}} \uparrow \overline{B} \in \{z \in \mathfrak{F} \mid z \subseteq a \wedge z \cap b = 0^{\mathfrak{F}}\}$ and thus

$$a \cap^{\mathfrak{F}} \uparrow \overline{B} \subseteq \bigcup^{\mathfrak{F}} \{z \in \mathfrak{F} \mid z \subseteq a \wedge z \cap b = 0^{\mathfrak{F}}\}$$

and so $\bigcup^{\mathfrak{F}} \{z \in \mathfrak{F} \mid z \subseteq a \wedge z \cap b = 0^{\mathfrak{F}}\} \supseteq \bigcup^{\mathfrak{F}} \{a \cap^{\mathfrak{F}} \uparrow \overline{B} \mid B \in \text{up } b\}$. □

The above proposition completes the proof of problem 1 in [1].