

**Proof.** Let  $H \in \text{up}(g \circ f)$ . Then  $\exists F \in \text{up } f: H \sqsupseteq g \circ F$  that is  $H \in \text{up}(g \circ F)$ . Thus  $\exists G \in \text{up } g$  such that  $H \sqsupseteq G \circ F$ .  $\square$

### 3 Join of transitive reloids

$(f \sqcup g) \circ (f \sqcup g) \sqsupseteq (f \circ f) \sqcup (g \circ g)$  [need other direction]

Join of compositions of all finite sequences of  $f$  and  $g$  (in any order). It is equivalent to taking all alternating  $S^*(f \circ g \circ f \circ \dots \circ f)$  starting or ending with  $f$  or  $g$ .

“Relationships between completeness properties” in [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Completeness\\_order\\_theory](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Completeness_order_theory)

or alternatively:

$$\mu = (f \sqcup g) \sqcup ((f \sqcup g) \circ (f \sqcup g)) \sqcup ((f \sqcup g) \circ (f \sqcup g) \circ (f \sqcup g)) \sqcup \dots$$

$$\mu \circ \mu \sqsupseteq \mu$$

“No similarly useful description of a subbase for the infimum of a family of quasi-uniformities is known.” by <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0166864107000181>

$$\text{up}\left(\bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}, f_{n,i} \in S} (f_{n,n} \circ \dots \circ f_{n,0})\right) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}, f_{n,i} \in S, F_{n,i} \in \text{up } f_{n,i}} (F_{n,n} \circ \dots \circ F_{n,0})$$

**Lemma 14.**  $\mu = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}, f_{n,i} \in S} (f_{n,n} \circ \dots \circ f_{n,0})$  is a transitive reloid, for every set  $S$  of endoreloids (on the same set).

**Proof.** Denote  $[U_n: n \in \mathbb{N}] = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (U_n \circ \dots \circ U_0)$ .

Let  $U_{n,X} \in \text{up } X$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $X \in S$ .

$[\bigcup_{X \in S} U_{n,X}: n \in \mathbb{N}]$  is ??

We need to prove  $\mu \circ \mu \sqsubseteq \mu$ .

$[\bigsqcup_{X \in S} U_{n,X}: n \in \mathbb{N}] \in \text{up } \mu$ .

Let  $U_{n,X} \in X$  and  $U_n = \bigcup_{X \in S} U_{n,X}$ .

Then  $[\bigsqcup_{X \in S} U_{2n,X}: n \in \mathbb{N}] \circ [\bigsqcup_{X \in S} U_{2n-1,X}: n \in \mathbb{N}] \sqsubseteq [\bigsqcup_{X \in S} U_{n,X}: n \in \mathbb{N}]$  because??

$U_{2n,X} \circ U_{2n-1,X} \in [\bigsqcup_{X \in S} U_{n,X}: n \in \mathbb{N}]$  ?? [TODO: No need for reindexation.]

??

$U_n$  is the join of all compositions of  $n$ -tuples. They form a generalized filter base. Thus it's enough to show that every  $U_n$  can be decomposed into smaller  $n$ -tuples. But that's obvious. (It isn't because it is an infinite join!)

Thus is above  $U'_n \circ U''_n$ .

Thus  $\mu$  is also decomposed, because every its element is minorated as shown above.

??

Take  $P \in \text{up } \mu$ . Then  $P \in \text{up } A$  for every  $A \in \text{up}(f_{n,n} \circ \dots \circ f_{n,0})$ .

$P \sqsupseteq F_{N,N} \circ \dots \circ F_{N,0}$  for some  $F_{N,i} \in \text{up } f_{N,i}$  for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Thus  $P \sqsupseteq \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}, f_i \in S} (F_{N,N} \circ \dots \circ F_{N,0})$  where  $F_{N,i} \in \text{up } f_{N,i}$ .

Take  $F'_N = F_{N, \lfloor N/2 \rfloor} \circ \dots \circ F_{N,0}$  and  $F''_N = F_{N,N} \circ \dots \circ F_{N, \lfloor N/2 \rfloor + 1}$

This way we exhaust all possible values?

$(F_{n,n} \circ \dots \circ F_{n,n}) \circ (F_{m,m} \circ \dots \circ F_{m,0}) \sqsubseteq P$ . But this is obvious.

Thus easily follows  $P \sqsupseteq (\bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}, f_i \in S} (F_{n,n} \circ \dots \circ F_{n,0})) \circ (\bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}, f_i \in S} (F_{n,n} \circ \dots \circ F_{n,0}))$ ;  $P \in \text{up}(\mu \circ \mu)$ .  $\square$

Alternative formula:  $\bigcup \langle \text{GR} \rangle^* S \sqcup Z(\bigcup \langle \text{GR} \rangle^* S) \sqcup Z(Z(\bigcup \langle \text{GR} \rangle^* S)) \sqcup \dots$

[TODO: Both for reloids and for Cauchy spaces  $\Gamma_i$  as in the attached article in email.]

[TODO: Also for functors (using (FCD)).]

#### 3.1 Exponentials in category of graphs

<http://arxiv.org/pdf/math/0605275.pdf> definition 2.3 defines exponential graph