

Quasi-atoms

DEFINITION 2285. *Quasi-atoms* functor \mathcal{A} is the functor $A \rightarrow \text{atoms}^{\mathfrak{A}} A$ defined by the formula $\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^* X = \text{atoms}^{\mathfrak{A}} X$.

This really defines a functor because $\text{atoms}^{\mathfrak{A}} \perp = \emptyset$ and $\text{atoms}^{\mathfrak{A}}(X \cup Y) = \text{atoms}^{\mathfrak{A}} X \cup \text{atoms}^{\mathfrak{A}} Y$.

OBVIOUS 2286. \mathcal{A} is a co-complete functor.

PROPOSITION 2287. $\langle \mathcal{A}^{-1} \rangle^* Y = \bigsqcup Y$.

PROOF. $Y \not\leq \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^* X \Leftrightarrow Y \not\leq \text{atoms}^{\mathfrak{A}} X \Leftrightarrow \exists x \in \text{atoms}^{\mathfrak{A}} X, y \in Y : x \not\leq y \Leftrightarrow \exists y \in Y : X \not\leq y \Leftrightarrow$ (because X is a principal filter) $\Leftrightarrow X \not\leq \bigsqcup Y$. \square

Note $\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^* \mathcal{X} = \prod_{X \in \text{up } \mathcal{X}} \text{atoms}^{\mathfrak{A}} X$;

$\langle \mathcal{A}^{-1} \rangle^* \mathcal{Y} = \prod_{Y \in \text{up } \mathcal{Y}} \bigsqcup Y$ (\mathcal{Y} is filter on the set of ultrafilters).

Can $\text{atoms}^{\mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{X}$ be restored knowing $\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^* \mathcal{X}$? Can $\bigsqcup \mathcal{Y}$ be restored knowing $\langle \mathcal{A}^{-1} \rangle^* \mathcal{Y}$?

PROPOSITION 2288. (Provided that A is infinite) \mathcal{A} is not complete.

PROOF. Take a nonprincipal ultrafilter x . Then $\langle \mathcal{A}^{-1} \rangle^* \{x\} = \bigsqcup \{x\} = x$ is a nonprincipal filter. \square

CONJECTURE 2289. There is such filter \mathcal{X} that $\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle^* \mathcal{X}$ is non-principal.

Does quasi-atoms functor define a more elegant replacement of $\text{atoms}^{\mathfrak{A}}$? Does this concept have any use?