

The above proposition allows to define:

DEFINITION 2112.  $\text{DOM } f = \text{DOM } F$  and  $\text{IM } f = \text{IM } F$  for  $F \in f$ .

### 6.5. Rectangular restriction.

PROPOSITION 2113.  $\iota_{A,B}f = \iota_{A,B}g$  if  $f \sim g$ .

PROOF. Let  $f \sim g$ . Then  $g = \iota_{\text{Src } g, \text{Dst } g}f$ . So  $\iota_{A,B}g = \iota_{A,B}\iota_{\text{Src } g, \text{Dst } g}f \sqsubseteq$  (proposition 2090)  $\sqsubseteq \iota_{A,B}f$ . Similarly,  $\iota_{A,B}f \sqsubseteq \iota_{A,B}g$ . So  $\iota_{A,B}f = \iota_{A,B}g$ .  $\square$

The above proposition allows to define:

DEFINITION 2114.  $\iota_{A,B}F = \iota_{A,B}f$  for an unfixed morphism  $F$  and arbitrary  $f \in F$ .

DEFINITION 2115.  $F \square_{A,B} = [\iota_{A,B}F]$  for every unfixed morphism  $F$ .

PROPOSITION 2116.  $F \square_{A,B} = \text{id}_B \circ F \circ \text{id}_A$  for every unfixed morphism  $F$  and objects  $A$  and  $B$ .

PROOF. Take  $f \in F$ .  $F \square_{A,B} = [\iota_{A,B}F] = [\iota_{A,B}f] = [\mathcal{E}^{\text{Dst } f, B} \circ f \circ \mathcal{E}^{A, \text{Src } f}] = [\text{id}_{B \cap \text{Dst } f}^{\mathcal{C}(\text{Dst } f, B)} \circ f \circ \text{id}_{A \cap \text{Src } f}^{\mathcal{C}(A, \text{Src } f)}] = [\text{id}_B^{\mathcal{C}(\text{Dst } f, B)} \circ \text{id}_{\text{Dst } f}^{\mathcal{C}(\text{Dst } f, \text{Dst } f)} \circ f \circ \text{id}_{\text{Src } f}^{\mathcal{C}(\text{Src } f, \text{Src } f)} \circ \text{id}_A^{\mathcal{C}(A, \text{Src } f)}] = [\text{id}_B^{\mathcal{C}(\text{Dst } f, B)} \circ f \circ \text{id}_A^{\mathcal{C}(A, \text{Src } f)}] = [\text{id}_B^{\mathcal{C}(\text{Dst } f, B)}] \circ [f] \circ [\text{id}_A^{\mathcal{C}(A, \text{Src } f)}] = \text{id}_B \circ F \circ \text{id}_A$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2117.  $f \square_{A_0, B_0} \square_{A_1, B_1} = f \square_{A_0 \cap A_1, A_1 \cap B_1}$ .

PROOF. From the previous  $f \square_{A_0, B_0} \square_{A_1, B_1} = \text{id}_{B_1} \circ \text{id}_{B_0} \circ f \circ \text{id}_{A_0} \circ \text{id}_{A_1} = \text{id}_{B_0 \cap B_1} \circ f \circ \text{id}_{A_0 \cap A_1} = f \square_{A_0 \cap A_1, A_1 \cap B_1}$ .  $\square$

DEFINITION 2118.  $f|_X = f \circ \text{id}_X$  for every unfixed morphism  $f$  and  $X \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

OBVIOUS 2119.  $(f|_X)|_Y = f_{X \cap Y}$ .

**6.6. Algebraic properties of the lattice of unfixed morphisms.** The following proposition allows to easily prove algebraic properties (cf. distributivity) of the poset of unfixed morphisms:

THEOREM 2120. The following are mutually inverse bijections:

- 1°. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be objects.  $f \mapsto [f]$  and  $F \mapsto \iota_{A,B}F$  are mutually inverse order isomorphisms between  $\left\{ \frac{f \in \text{unfixed morphisms}}{A \in \text{DOM } f, B \in \text{IM } f} \right\}$  and  $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$ . If  $A = B$  they are also semigroup isomorphisms.
- 2°. Let  $T$  be an unfixed morphism.  $f \mapsto [f]$  and  $F \mapsto \iota_{\text{Src } t, \text{Dst } t}F$  are mutually inverse order isomorphisms between the lattice  $DT$  and  $Dt$  whenever  $t \in T$ .

PROOF. We will prove that these functions are mutually inverse bijections. That they are order-preserving is obvious.

1°.  $\iota_{A,B}F \in \mathcal{C}(A, B)$  is obvious.

We need to prove that  $[f] \in \left\{ \frac{f \in \text{unfixed morphisms}}{A \in \text{DOM } f, B \in \text{IM } f} \right\}$ . For this it's enough to prove  $A \in \text{DOM}[f] \wedge B \in \text{IM}[f]$  what is the same as  $A \in \text{DOM } f \wedge B \in \text{IM } f$  what follows from proposition 2071.

Because  $f \mapsto [f]$  is an injection, it is enough<sup>1</sup> to prove that  $\iota_{A,B}[f] = f$ . Really,  $\iota_{A,B}[f] = \iota_{A,B}f = f$ .

That they are semigroup isomorphisms follows from the already proved formula  $[g \circ f] = [g] \circ [f]$ .

<sup>1</sup><https://math.stackexchange.com/a/3007051/4876>